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Abstract

In this thesis, we leveraged on first principles techniques to advance our understanding of Li-ion battery technology. Two major components in a Li-ion battery were studied, namely the cathode and the electrolyte. Simultaneous materials advances in these areas are needed to increase the energy density and improve the safety of Li-ion batteries.

On the cathode front, we have advanced the understanding of phase equilibria in the technologically important Li-Fe-P-O system by constructing the phase diagram as a function of oxidation conditions. The predictions of the calculated diagram agree well with previous experimental findings. The combined application of the phase diagrams and an Ellingham diagram provides a means to more efficiently focus experimental efforts to optimize synthesis approaches for LiFePO₄.

We then developed a means to predict the thermal stability of a material by determining the oxygen evolution as a function of oxygen chemical potential / temperature. In agreement with previous experimental findings, we predicted delithiated FePO₄ to be the most thermally stable, and delithiated MnPO₄ to be less stable. The delithiated high-voltage NiPO₄ and CoPO₄ olivines were found to be the least stable, suggesting a correlation between voltage and thermal stability.

We revisited the calculation of lithium intercalation potentials and oxide redox energies in the context of the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE06) hybrid functional. We found the HSE06 functional to perform similarly to DFT+U in the prediction of lithium intercalation potentials and oxide redox energies, albeit at a significantly higher computational cost.

Using HSE06, we investigated the polaron migration barriers and phase separation energies of LiMnPO₄ and LiFePO₄. The polaron migration barriers were found to be significantly higher in the Mn olivine as compared to the Fe olivine, and indicates approximately two orders of magnitude difference in conductivity between the two materials, in agreement with previous experimental findings. However, HSE06 predicts qualitatively incorrect phase separation energies in LiFePO₄, suggesting that its treatment of electron correlation in more strongly localized transition metal states
is still deficient.

On the electrolyte front, we investigated the trends in the gas-phase electron affinities (EAs) of room-temperature ionic liquid (IL) cations and ionization energies (IEs) of IL anions by systematically transversing the IL ion chemical space using computational chemistry methods.[6] Our results show that trends in the calculated vertical EAs and IEs are in qualitative agreement with the relative experimental redox stabilities of ILs formed from various cations and anions. A monotonic decreasing trend of cation EA with increasing alkylation is observed, while no apparent trend is observed for anions. We found that electron-donating (ED) functional groups decreases the EA of the cation and IE of the anion, and hence, increases the stability of the cation against reduction but decreases the stability of the anion against oxidation. The reverse is true for electron-withdrawing (EW) functional groups. We also demonstrated that the position of substitution is important in determining the strength of the ED or EW effects. These qualitative trends agree well with previous experimental and theoretical results.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

One of the key hurdles to clean energy is energy storage. For the foreseeable future, rechargeable lithium-ion batteries are the technology to beat in terms of delivering high energy densities at a reasonable cost and safety. Beyond current consumer electronics applications, Li-ion batteries are at the forefront of efforts to commercialize plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEV) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEV) for the mass market.

The importance of Li-ion battery technology behooves its rapid development. Computational material design can help achieve this in two ways. Firstly, the advent of widely available computational power and efficient computational codes has provided a means to rapidly screen a large set of materials by computing key relevant properties, such as the voltage of a cathode or electrochemical window of an electrolyte, thereby accelerating the search for new and better materials. Secondly, first principles techniques allow us to study materials of interest in a virtual environment with absolute control over conditions, something not possible in an experimental setup. These highly controlled investigations provide insights into a material’s intrinsic properties and how they can be optimized or improved. In this thesis, we leveraged on both these advantages of computational techniques to study cathode and electrolyte materials in Li-ion batteries.

The remainder of this chapter provides an introduction to Li-ion battery technology and the components studied in this thesis. The chapter concludes with an
overview and motivation of the research.

1.1 Anatomy of a lithium-ion battery

Figure 1-1 shows the operation of a typical Li-ion battery. Li-ion batteries operate by shuttling Li\(^+\) ions between the cathode and anode through an electronically insulating, ion-conducting electrolyte. During discharge, lithium ions migrate from the anode, where they are at a higher chemical potential, through the electrolyte, and into the cathode, where they are at a lower chemical potential. At the same time, an electron travels through the external circuit to perform external work. The free energy change of the lithium migration process is the maximum reversible work that can be obtained. During charge, the application of an external potential forces lithium ions to migrate out of the cathode, through the electrolyte, and back into the anode.

![Figure 1-1: Schematic of the operation of a typical Li-ion battery.](image)

1.1.1 Intercalation cathodes

Typically, both electrodes in a Li-ion battery are intercalation compounds, which as their name implies, store Li\(^+\) by inserting them into their crystal structure in a topotactic manner. Currently, the anode used in most Li-ion batteries is based on
graphitic carbon, which stores up to one Li$^+$ for every six carbon atoms in between its graphene layers. Current cathodes are typically lithium transition-metal dischalcogenides, which contain interstitial sites that can be occupied by Li$^+$. The insertion of each Li$^+$ is accompanied by the concomitant reduction of a transition metal ion to accommodate the compensating electron.

The earliest commercial intercalation cathode can be traced back to the work of Whittingham,[7] who first demonstrated electrochemical activity in layered LiTiS$_2$ in the 1970s. Layered LiCoO$_2$, the cathode material that dominates the market in lithium batteries today, was discovered by Mizushima et al.[8] in 1980.

In recent years, olivine LiMPO$_4$ materials have emerged as promising cathodes for rechargeable lithium batteries.[9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] The olivine LiMPO$_4$ compounds have an orthorhombic Pnma spacegroup (Fig. 1-2) where the transition metal (M) ions are sixfold coordinated by oxygen ions, forming layers of edge-sharing octahedra.

LiFePO$_4$,[9] in particular, has already found widespread applications in industry due to its reasonable voltage of 3.5 V and theoretical capacity of 170 mAhg$^{-1}$, low cost and low toxicity, and high thermal stability. Because of its substantial potential, much research effort has been directed towards optimizing synthesis routes for LiFePO$_4$ cathodes. A variety of techniques have been developed to optimize the
electrode by controlling particle size and morphology and improving the electrical conductivity.\cite{10, 14, 11, 19} Most of these techniques are based on the control of the oxidation environment and initial stoichiometry of precursors; the synthesis of LiFePO$_4$ requires reducing conditions, typically achieved via high temperatures, the use of carbon-containing precursors and/or reducing atmospheres such as an Ar atmosphere. The impurities formed are highly dependent on the stoichiometry and synthesis conditions.\cite{20, 21, 22}

LiMnPO$_4$, LiCoPO$_4$ and LiNiPO$_4$ can potentially deliver higher theoretical energy densities than LiFePO$_4$ due to their higher measured/predicted voltages of 4.1 V, 4.8 V and 5.1 V vs Li/Li$^+$ respectively.\cite{23, 24, 18} Of these promising alternatives, LiMnPO$_4$ has garnered the most interest because its voltage of 4.1 V is higher than LiFePO$_4$ (3.5 V) but well within the limitations of current organic electrolytes. However, experimental investigations thus far have in general found poor rate performance in LiMnPO$_4$ due to low ionic and electronic conductivities,\cite{25} a high surface energy barrier for Li diffusion,\cite{26} or significant volume change at the phase boundary.\cite{13, 16, 27} Two recent investigations by Kim et al.\cite{28} and Chen et al.\cite{29} have also demonstrated that while fully lithiated LiMnPO$_4$ remains stable up to fairly high temperatures, delithiated MnPO$_4$ decomposes at temperatures of around 150-200°C, evolving O$_2$ and heat in the process. This is in stark contrast to delithiated FePO$_4$ which has been shown to be stable for temperatures up to 500-600°C.\cite{30} There is also evidence that the other higher voltage olivines, LiCoPO$_4$ and LiNiPO$_4$, are even more thermally unstable upon delithiation.\cite{31, 32}.

1.1.2 Electrolytes

The electrolyte functions as a Li$^+$ conductor and comprises a solvent, typically a mixture of a cyclic carbonate such as ethylene carbonate (EC) and linear carbonate such as dimethyl carbonate (DMC), and a lithium salt, typically LiPF$_6$. An ideal electrolyte should have a wide enough electrochemical window to accommodate the operating voltage of the battery, chemical stability against the electrodes and other components, a high ionic conductivity with extremely low electronic conductivity, low
flammability, and low cost. Current EC/DMC + LiPF$_6$ electrolytes are an imperfect compromise of these objectives. The electrochemical windows of EC/DMC + LiPF$_6$ electrolytes of up to approximately 5 V versus Li/Li$^+$ are sufficient for current electrode systems, but would be severely tested by higher voltage cathodes (e.g., LiNiPO$_4$, which has a predicted voltage of 5.1 V). Current electrolytes are also unstable against the graphitic anode and function by forming a passivating solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) whose composition, structure and transport mechanisms are still not well understood.

Recently, there been a steadily growing interest in using room-temperature ionic liquids (ILs) as electrolytes in rechargeable lithium batteries. ILs are liquids that comprise entirely of ions at room temperature. IL cations are typically bulky, asymmetric organic cations such as 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium, 1-alkylpyridinium and N-methyl-N-alkylpyrrolidinium (see Figure 1-3). For lithium battery applications, the IL anions chosen are based on those in lithium salts commonly added to current electrolytes to aid lithium conductivity, such as BF$_4$, PF$_6$ and bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)-imide.

The properties of ILs are highly dependent on the cations and anions used and the functional groups attached to those ions. As a class of materials, ILs generally exhibit low volatility, low flammability and high thermal stability, which provides significant safety advantages over flammable organic compounds. Many ILs also have wide electrochemical windows of approximately 5-6 V, which are considerably larger than the 4.3-4.4 V electrochemical windows of current organic electrolytes. A more electrochemically stable electrolyte could unlock the potential of high-voltage applications.
cathodes with higher power density. For example, LiNiPO$_4$, which is predicted to have a potential near 5 V,$^{[23]}$ would have 50% higher energy density than the Fe-based LiFePO$_4$ system currently under development for HEVs. The main disadvantage of ILs as Li-ion battery electrolytes is their generally higher viscosity and lower ionic conductivities compared to current organic electrolytes, especially after the addition of a lithium salt.

1.2 Motivation and overview

While Li-ion battery technology has come a long way since its early beginnings, significant advances are necessary for Li-ion batteries to move beyond small-scale consumer electronics applications into large scale applications such as PHEVs and HEVs. In its 2007 Draft Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle R&D Plan, the US Department of Energy has identified new materials development, especially the development of new transition-metal oxide cathodes and electrolyte materials, as an important research thrust.$^{[39]}$ To meet the PHEV 40-mile electric range target, the specific energy of current Li-ion batteries must approximately double. The specific energy of a battery is given by its voltage multiplied by its specific capacity. Hence, a cathode with higher voltage and/or higher achievable capacity, combined with an stable electrolyte that supports operation at that voltage, will increase the overall specific energy of a battery.

Computationally, the voltage of a cathode material can be predicted by calculating the change in the lithium chemical potential as lithium shuttles between the cathode and anode. The theoretical rate capability of a cathode is determined by the rate limiting step, which could be set by either the ionic or electronic conductivities.

Phase diagrams representing the thermodynamic phase equilibria of multi-component systems reveal fundamental material aspects regarding the processing and reactions of materials. With the advent of relatively cheap computational power, it is now possible to calculate the energies of all compounds in a multi-component system to computationally determine its phase diagram. In this thesis, we computed the technologically
important Li-Fe-P-O quaternary phase diagram as a function of oxidation conditions using first principles calculations. The computed Li-Fe-P-O phase diagram have provided insights into the phase equilibria for different LiFePO$_4$ off-stoichiometries and guided experimental efforts to optimize synthesis approaches that remove ionic kinetic limitations.

Thermal stability remains an important issue in large scale applications. At elevated temperatures, cathode materials may potentially release oxygen, which can combust the electrolyte and lead to runaway reactions and fire. While the discharged cathode is usually relatively stable with respect to oxygen release, cathodes based on layered LiCoO$_2$, LiNiO$_2$, and spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ decompose with oxygen evolution$^{[40]}$ when heated in the highly oxidized charged state. Olivine LiFePO$_4$ on the other hand, offers much better thermal stability, and it has been tacitly assumed that all materials based on the phosphate polyanion would share this advantage.$^{[13, 41]}$ In this thesis, we developed a technique to study the thermal stability of cathode materials using first principles phase diagrams and applied the technique to compare the relative thermal stabilities of the charged olivine phosphates.

Previous theoretical work has shown that standard local density (LDA) and generalized gradient approximations (GGA) to density functional theory (DFT) are generally insufficient to treat electron correlation in the localized $d$ states in transition metal oxides and tends to lead to an over-delocalization of the $d$ electrons.$^{[42, 43, 44]}$ A more sophisticated treatment with the application of a Hubbard $U$ term to penalize partial occupancies in the site-projected $d$ orbitals is needed. Exact Hartree-Fock (HF) exchange cancels the unphysical self-interaction by construction.$^{[45]}$ As such, hybrid functionals, which incorporate a fraction of exact exchange, can be considered an alternative approach to dealing with the over-delocalization of $d$-orbitals in transition metal ions by conventional semi-local functionals, albeit at a significantly higher computational cost. The advantage of hybrid functionals over GGA+$U$ is the lack of a species-specific $U$ parameter and perhaps more importantly, a more universal treatment of the self-interaction error over all species and occupied states rather than specific atomic orbital projections on specific ions. In this thesis, we investigated the
merits of the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE06) hybrid functional for predicting voltages and redox energies, and applied the same functional to study relative polaron conductivities and phase separation energies in the LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$ materials.

Last but not least, better cathode materials require better electrolytes. Room-temperature ionic liquids (ILs) are not only safer, but also have the potential to unlock higher voltage cathode materials. In this thesis, we systematically probed the large chemical space of IL ion structures to obtain broad trends in the gas-phase electron affinities of IL cations and ionization energies of IL anions using computational chemistry methods. These trends are consistent with experimental observations and provides a tool to screen IL ions for applications in Li-ion batteries.

This thesis is divided into the following chapters:

• Chapter 2 provides an overview of the thermodynamic and computational techniques to develop phase diagrams from first principles calculations.

• Chapter 3 discusses the Li-Fe-P-O phase diagram computed using the above techniques and its relevance and application.

• Chapter 4 discusses the extension of computational phase diagrams to the prediction of the thermal stabilities of the olivine LiMPO$_4$ (M = Fe, Mn, Co, Ni) materials, and the use of the technique as a high-throughput cathode design criteria.

• Chapter 5 compares the performance of the HSE06 functional in predicting voltages and redox energies with the predictions of standard DFT functionals based on GGA+$U$ and GGA.

• Chapter 6 is devoted to the study of polaron migration barriers and phase separation energies in LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$ using the HSE06 functional.

• Chapter 7 presents our systematic investigation of the gas phase electron affinities of IL cations and ionization energies of IL anions using computational chemistry methods.
• Chapter 8 concludes this thesis.
Chapter 2

Phase diagrams from first principles

In this chapter, we outline the general thermodynamic methodology to construct phase diagrams using first principles calculations. The methodology is based on the technique used by Wang et al.\cite{Wang2006} to determine the ternary phase diagrams of Li-M-O (M = Ni, Co, Mn) systems to investigate the thermal stability of the layered LiCoO$_2$, LiNiO$_2$ and LiMnO$_2$ materials. In this thesis, we have made a few notable extensions to that technique, including an oxygen grand potential construction for studying systems that are open with respect to oxygen gas, which has the added benefit of simplifying phase diagram analysis for the four-component systems of interest. The oxygen chemical potential is treated as an external parameter and can be related to experimental conditions such as temperature and oxygen partial pressures using simple thermodynamic relations.

Because our interest are primarily in the Li-M-P-O quaternary systems, we have adopted a notation based on this system in the following derivations without loss of generality.
2.1 Compositional phase diagrams

To construct a phase diagram, one would need to compare the relative thermodynamic stability of phases belonging to the system using an appropriate free energy model. For an isothermal, isobaric, and closed Li-M-P-O system, the relevant thermodynamic potential is the Gibbs free energy, $G$, which can be expressed as a Legendre transform of the enthalpy, $H$, and internal energy, $E$, as follows:


where $T$ is the temperature of the system, $S$ is the entropy of the system, $P$ is the pressure of the system, $V$ is the volume of the system, and $N_i$ is the number of atoms of species $i$ in the system.

In the olivine Li-M-P-O systems of interest, we are primarily comparing the relative stability of condensed phases, for which $P\Delta V$ is generally small and the $PV$ term may therefore be neglected. At 0K, the expression for $G$ simplifies to just $E$. Normalizing $E$ with respect to the total number of particles in the system, we obtain $E(0, P, x_{Li}, x_M, x_P, x_O)$ where $x_i = \frac{N_i}{N_{Li} + N_M + N_P + N_O}$ is the fraction of species $i$ in the system. By taking the convex hull [47] of $E$ for all phases belonging to the $N$-component system and projecting the stable nodes into the $(N - 1)$-dimension composition space, one can obtain the 0K phase diagram for the closed system at constant pressure. The convex hull of a set of points is the smallest convex set containing the points. For instance, to construct a 0K, closed Li-M-P-O system phase diagram, the convex hull is taken on the set of points in $(E, x_{Li}, x_M, x_P)$ space with $x_O$ being related to the other three composition variables by $x_O = 1 - x_{Li} - x_M - x_P$. 
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2.2 Oxygen grand potential phase diagrams

The description of a closed four-component system at constant temperature and pressure requires three-dimensional space and can be represented in the form of a composition tetrahedron. However, given the large number of phases present in the Li-M-P-O system, this representation is not one that is amenable to easy analysis. Furthermore, such a phase diagram, which describes phase equilibria in an environment with controlled Li, M, P and O compositions, is not reflective of the environments of interest.

Typically, synthesis and thermal stability investigations of LiMPO$_4$ olivines are conducted under controlled oxygen chemical potential conditions. LiFePO$_4$ synthesis requires reducing environments, which are usually achieved using relatively high temperature processing (typically 600-850°C) and a low oxygen environment such as an Ar or N$_2$ atmosphere. In some cases, even more extreme reducing environments are achieved with the presence of carbon or H$_2$ as reducing agents. Thermal stability experiments, such as thermogravimetric analysis, are also typically conducted under controlled atmospheres.

Under these conditions, the system of interest is an isothermal, isobaric system that is open with respect to oxygen and closed with respect to the other components, rather than a completely closed system. The key control variable is then the oxygen chemical potential, $\mu_{O_2}$. The relevant thermodynamic potential to study phase equilibria with respect to an oxidizing or reducing environment is the oxygen grand potential, defined by the following expression:

$$\phi(T, P, N_{Li}, N_M, N_P, \mu_{O_2}) = G(T, P, N_{Li}, N_M, N_P, \mu_{O_2}) - \mu_{O_2}N_{O_2}(T, P, N_{Li}, N_M, N_P, \mu_{O_2})$$ (2.1)

$$\approx E(T, P, N_{Li}, N_M, N_P, \mu_{O_2}) - TS(T, P, N_{Li}, N_M, N_P, \mu_{O_2}) - \mu_{O_2}N_{O_2}(T, P, N_{Li}, N_M, N_P, \mu_{O_2})$$ (2.2)

where the $PV$ term is again ignored.
Normalizing $\phi$ with respect to Li-M-P composition and dropping the explicit expression of the functional dependence of $E$, $S$ and $N_{O_2}$ on the right-hand side henceforth for brevity, we obtain:

$$
\overline{\phi}(T, P, x_{Li}, x_M, x_P, \mu_{O_2}) \approx \frac{E - TS - \mu_{O_2}N_{O_2}}{N_{Li} + N_M + N_P} \quad (2.3)
$$

where $x_i = \frac{N_i}{N_{Li} + N_M + N_P}$ is the fraction of component $i$ in Li-M-P composition space.

To formally introduce temperature into ab initio phase stability calculations, one would usually need to take into account all the relevant excitations (e.g., vibrational, configurational, and electronic) that contribute to entropy. However, for our chosen system, a few simplifying assumptions can be made that allow us to obtain a useful approximate phase diagram with less effort. For an open system with respect to oxygen, phase equilibria changes take place primarily through reactions involving the absorption or loss of oxygen gas. In such reactions, the reaction entropy is dominated by the entropy of oxygen gas, and the effect of temperature is mostly captured by changes in the oxygen chemical potential. The oxygen chemical potential is related to the temperature and oxygen partial pressure by the following equations:

$$
\mu_{O_2}(T, p_{O_2}) = \mu_{O_2}(T, p_0) + kT \ln \frac{p_{O_2}}{p_0} \quad (2.4)
$$

$$
= E_{O_2} + kT - TS_{O_2}^{T, p_0} + kT \ln \frac{p_{O_2}}{p_0} \quad (2.5)
$$

where $p_{O_2}$ is the oxygen partial pressure, $p_0$ is a reference oxygen partial pressure, $S_{O_2}^{T, p_0}$ is the oxygen entropy at the reference oxygen partial pressure, $E_{O_2}$ is the oxygen energy, and $k$ is Boltzmann’s constant. Equation (2.5) is obtained by writing the chemical potential as a Legendre transform of the internal energy, with an ideal gas assumption made for the PV term.

Because the $TS$ term in equation (2.3) is the entropy contribution of the solid state system to $\overline{\phi}$, it can be neglected compared to the entropy effect of $\mu_{O_2}$ on $\overline{\phi}$ (due to the much larger contribution of $N_{O_2}s_{O_2}$ compared to $S$). The expression for $\overline{\phi}$ then
simplifies to the following:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mu_{O_2}, x_{Li}, x_{Fe}, x_P) \approx \frac{E - \mu_{O_2}N_{O_2}}{N_{Li} + N_{Fe} + N_P}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)$$

Using the above assumptions, the effect of temperature and oxygen partial pressure can be fully captured in a single variable, $\mu_{O_2}$, with a more negative value corresponding to higher $T$ or lower $p_{O_2}$ (as can be seen from equation 2.5).

Varying $\mu_{O_2}$, the Li-M-P-O phase diagram can be constructed as constant $\mu_{O_2}$ sections in $(\mu_{O_2}, x_{Li}, x_M, x_P)$ space by taking the convex hull of $\tilde{\phi}$ for all phases at a particular $\mu_{O_2}$ and projecting the stable nodes onto a two-dimensional Li-M-P Gibbs triangle. Each constant $\mu_{O_2}$ phase diagram then represents phase equilibria at a particular oxidation environment, and each point in the phase diagram provides the phase or combination of phases with the lowest $\tilde{\phi}$.

### 2.3 First principles energies from density functional theory

In this thesis, we have extracted all known ordered compounds in the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD)[52] belonging to the quaternary Li-M-P-O (M = Fe, Mn, Ni, Co) systems, and calculated the energies for these using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) to density functional theory (DFT) and the GGA+$U$ extension to it.[53] Projected augmented wave (PAW)[54] pseudopotentials were used, as implemented in the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation package (VASP).[55] An energy cutoff of 500 eV and appropriate $k$-point meshes were chosen so that total energies converged within 3 meV per formula unit with the atomic positions and lattice vectors fully relaxed. All calculations were spin-polarized, but no attempt was made to find anti-ferromagnetic solutions except for the iron oxides for which the magnetic ground states are well-known.

The DFT+$U$[53] methodology was chosen for its appropriateness for the redox reactions studied in this work. It is well-known that first principles calculations
within the local density approximation (LDA) or generalized gradient approximation (GGA) lead to considerable error in calculated redox energies of transition metal oxides. This error arises from the self-interaction in LDA and GGA, which is not canceled out in redox reactions where an electron is transferred between significantly different environments, such as between a metal and a transition metal or between a transition metal and oxygen. Zhou et al.\cite{23} have demonstrated that a GGA+\textit{U} treatment of the localized \textit{d} orbitals with an explicit Hubbard term to cancel the self-interactions lead to significantly improved accuracy in the calculated redox energies and Li-insertion voltages for lithium transition metal cathodes. Similarly, Wang et al.\cite{56, 46} showed the benefit of the \textit{U} correction in improving the calculated oxidation energies for transition metal oxides.

Throughout this thesis, the rotationally invariant approach to the LSDA+\textit{U} introduced by Dudarev et al.\cite{57} was used in which there is only one effective \textit{U} parameter. In the literature, there are two common methods to determine the appropriate \textit{U} value:

1. The self-consistent linear response theory approach of Cococcioni et al.\cite{58} The energies for the Li-Fe-P-O phase diagram in Chapter 3 and the GGA+\textit{U} voltages in Chapter 5 were calculated using \textit{U} values determined from this approach as our primary interest in these works were reproducing and comparing redox energies using “parameter-free” functionals.

2. Fitting to reproduce experimental oxidation energies for transition metal oxides, as implemented by Wang et al.\cite{59} For the thermal stability investigations in Chapter 4, the calculations were performed using our high-throughput computation infrastructure. In that setup, we adopted Wang et al.’s method \cite{59} of fitting the calculated binary oxide formation enthalpies to experimental values from the Kubaschewski tables.\cite{60} The rationale for adopting this approach was that to ensure that we obtain accurate formation energies. In any case, the \textit{U} values determined using this approach are usually very close to the self-consistent value determined in method (1), and we do not expect significantly
2.4 Limitations

Several limitations are inherent in our first principles approach to developing phase diagrams.

Firstly, our phase diagrams are developed from phases in the ICSD database. Our analysis, therefore, by definition does not include phases which have not yet been discovered. However, we do not foresee this to be a major issue as the Li-M-P-O systems are fairly well-studied, and most of the relevant phases should have already been identified. A more elaborate search for unknown compounds would require a method to predict likely crystal structures for a large number of possible stoichiometries. Hautier et al. [61] has recently developed such a method based on a combination of machine learning techniques and high-throughput ab initio phase diagrams.

Secondly, we have made several key assumptions in our free energy model, most notably considering only the entropic contributions of gaseous phases. This assumption seems reasonable for the phase equilibria we are interested in, where phase transitions consist primarily of solid state changes with the absorption or release of gases. It should be noted, however, that the predicted transition temperatures are likely to be overestimated as the entropy terms we neglected in the solid state would lower their free energy somewhat.

Finally, while the GGA+U methodology chosen for our DFT computations has been shown to be useful in obtaining accurate redox energies, the appropriate values of $U$ are dependent on the crystal environment and valence state of the transition metal ion. However, we expect major inaccuracies only for reactions involving metallic states for which the electrons are no longer atomic-like.
Chapter 3

The Li-Fe-P-O oxygen grand potential phase diagram

3.1 The LiFePO$_4$ cathode material

Since the pioneering work of Padhi et al.,[9] olivine LiFePO$_4$ has become one of the most promising cathode materials and is already under active commercialization today. LiFePO$_4$ has a reasonable theoretical capacity of 170 mAhg$^{-1}$ and voltage of 3.5 V, low materials cost and low toxicity. Because of its substantial potential, much research effort has been directed towards optimizing synthesis routes for LiFePO$_4$ cathodes. A variety of techniques have been developed to control particle size and morphology, as well as improve the electrical conductivity of the electrode through coating with conducting phases (e.g., carbon or metallic iron phosphides) or aliovalent doping.[19] Investigations by Herle et al.[20] and Delacourt et al.[62] attributed the increase in conductivity of off-stoichiometric, aliovalently-doped LiFePO$_4$ to a percolating network of iron phosphides and phosphocarbides believed to be formed from carbothermal reduction of LiFePO$_4$ or Fe$_2$P$_2$O$_7$. More recently, Rho et al.[21] used a combination of Mössbauer and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to show that under most synthesis conditions in inert or reducing atmospheres, FeP and Fe$_2$P, along with Li$_3$PO$_4$, are formed on the surface via surface reduction at temperatures as low as 600°C. Ellis et al.[22] also recently investigated the impact of synthesis conditions on
the conductivity and electrochemical performance of various lithium transition metal phosphates. They found that using H\textsubscript{2} or NH\textsubscript{3} gas as a reducing agent, they were able to achieve the reduction of Li-deficient LiFePO\textsubscript{4} to iron phosphides at lower temperatures and shorter sintering periods than when using carbon alone. They claimed that this reduced particle growth and carbon consumption, thereby significantly improving electrochemical performance.

We can see, therefore, that a key factor to optimizing synthesis approaches for LiFePO\textsubscript{4} is a thorough understanding of phase equilibria under stoichiometric and off-stoichiometric conditions. LiFePO\textsubscript{4} is typically synthesized under highly reducing conditions to avoid the formation of Fe\textsuperscript{3+}. Often, an excess of lithium is introduced to compensate for its high volatility. Depending on the precursors used (e.g., carbon containing or otherwise), the exact synthesis environment (temperatures, Ar or N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} atmosphere) and the degree and nature of off-stoichiometry, different secondary phases may be formed in addition to LiFePO\textsubscript{4}, either during synthesis or subsequent re-oxidation under normal operating conditions. The nature of such “impurity” phases can have a significant impact on the performance of the electrode. The presence of undesirable or inactive phases may at best reduce the capacity of the electrode, and at worst, seriously degrade electrochemical performance. On the other hand, some secondary phases may improve the performance of the electrode, for instance, by acting as Li\textsuperscript{+} or electron conduits.

A Li-Fe-P-O phase diagram would provide useful insights into experimental findings on LiFePO\textsubscript{4} and also serve as a guide for future experimental efforts to optimize synthesis approaches for LiFePO\textsubscript{4}. In this thesis, we have constructed the phase diagram for the quaternary Li-Fe-P-O system as a function of oxidation conditions using the first principles techniques outlined in Chapter 2\textsuperscript{[2]}. Using the information on phase relations garnered from the phase diagram, we were then able to construct an Ellingham-type diagram for reactions of interest using a combination of total energies obtained from first principles calculations and experimental entropy data of gaseous phases.
3.2 Calculated energies of Li-Fe-P-O phases

The GGA+U calculated energies and structures of the Li-Fe-P-O compounds studied in this work are presented in Table 3.1. All energies are presented as per formula unit (f.u.) formation energies, E_f, from the elemental standard states, Li, Fe, P and O_2. Because the olivine LiFePO_4 system was the focus of our investigation, the U value used for Fe was 4.3 eV, based on the average of the self-consistently determined U values for Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} in the olivine system calculated by Zhou et al. [23]. However, the value of U tends to become smaller as the valence state of Fe decreases. Hence, the U value used may be somewhat too small for oxidized states (e.g., Fe_2O_3) and too large for reduced states (e.g., FeO). In particular, we expect considerable error in the calculated energies of metallic systems such as Fe metal and the iron phosphides, Fe_xP_y, where the d orbitals are no longer atomic-like, and the GGA+U methodology is less applicable.

3.3 Verification of calculated ternary sub-system phase diagrams

To verify that the calculated energies reasonably reflect the relative stability of the various phases, we first constructed the phase diagrams of the various ternary sub-systems at 0 K, i.e., the Li-P-O, Li-Fe-O, Fe-P-O and Li-Fe-P systems, and compared these with known experimental phase diagrams for these systems. [63, 64, 65, 66, 67] It should be noted, however, that the experimental phase diagrams are generally for non-zero temperatures while the calculated phase diagrams represent phase equilibria at 0 K. Hence, some differences between the experimental and first principles phase diagrams are to be expected.

The phase diagrams constructed from first principles calculations are given in Figure 3-1. Only the section of the Fe-P-O phase diagram bounded by the oxides is shown given the large number of phases in this system. For the ternary diagrams with oxygen as a component, the reference O_2 energy used includes the constant ~1.36 eV
Table 3.1: Crystal structures and GGA+U formation energies per formula unit (as listed) of phases in Li-Fe-P-O system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Crystal Struct.</th>
<th>$E_f$ (eV)</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Crystal Struct.</th>
<th>$E_f$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li$_2$O</td>
<td>Fm3m</td>
<td>-6.200</td>
<td>LiFeO$_2$</td>
<td>R3m</td>
<td>-9.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>P6$_3$/mmc</td>
<td>-7.040</td>
<td>Li$_3$FeO$_4$</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-21.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>Fm3m</td>
<td>-4.095</td>
<td>Li$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-22.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>R$_3$c</td>
<td>-11.250</td>
<td>Li$_4$P$_2$O$_7$</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c1</td>
<td>-36.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>Fd3m</td>
<td>-15.682</td>
<td>LiPO$_3$</td>
<td>P2/c</td>
<td>-13.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$P</td>
<td>I4</td>
<td>-1.114</td>
<td>Fe$_9$(PO$_4$)$_8$</td>
<td>Cmmm</td>
<td>-47.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$P</td>
<td>Pnmm</td>
<td>-0.876</td>
<td>Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_3$</td>
<td>R3m</td>
<td>-26.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeP</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-0.339</td>
<td>Fe$_4$(PO$_4$)$_2$O</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>-38.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$P</td>
<td>P6$_2$m</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
<td>Fe$_2$PO$_4$O</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-20.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$P</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>-1.265</td>
<td>Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>-34.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$<em>4$O$</em>{18}$</td>
<td>P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$</td>
<td>-32.042</td>
<td>Fe$_7$(PO$_4$)$_6$</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>-95.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_3$O$_5$</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-17.343</td>
<td>Fe$_2$P$_2$O$_7$</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>-29.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_4$O$_9$</td>
<td>R$_3$c</td>
<td>-31.265</td>
<td>FePO$_4$</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-15.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P$_4$O$_6$)O$_2$</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>-27.792</td>
<td>Fe$_7$(P$_2$O$_7$)$_4$</td>
<td>C222$_1$</td>
<td>-113.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_3$O$_7$</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>-24.028</td>
<td>Fe$_3$(P$_2$O$_7$)$_2$</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-55.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_4$O$_6$</td>
<td>P2$_1$/m</td>
<td>-20.173</td>
<td>Fe$_4$(P$_2$O$_7$)$_3$</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>-80.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiP$_7$</td>
<td>I$_4$_1/acd</td>
<td>-2.261</td>
<td>Fe$_2$P$<em>4$O$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>-47.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiP$_5$</td>
<td>Pna2$_1$</td>
<td>-1.873</td>
<td>Fe(PO$_3$)$_3$</td>
<td>Cc</td>
<td>-33.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiP</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>-1.193</td>
<td>Fe$<em>3$PO$</em>{11}$</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>-41.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$P$_7$</td>
<td>P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$</td>
<td>-4.619</td>
<td>LiFePO$_4$</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td>-18.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$P</td>
<td>P6$_3$/mmc</td>
<td>-2.944</td>
<td>Li$_3$Fe$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>-53.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFeP</td>
<td>P4$_4$/mmm</td>
<td>-1.238</td>
<td>LiFe$_3$PO$_7$</td>
<td>P2$_1$</td>
<td>-29.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe$_5$O$_8$</td>
<td>P4$_3$2</td>
<td>-30.650</td>
<td>LiFe$_3$O$_9$</td>
<td>P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$</td>
<td>-37.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$Fe$_5$O$_8$</td>
<td>P4$_3$2</td>
<td>-35.668</td>
<td>Li$_9$Fe$_3$(P$_2$O$_7$)$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$</td>
<td>P3c1</td>
<td>-132.471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$1 eV/f.u. = 96.49 kJ/mol = 23.06 kcal/mol
shift to the oxygen enthalpy determined by Wang et al. to correct for the error associated with the well-known over-binding of O$_2$ in GGA. This reference energy has no effect on the constant $\mu_{O_2}$ phase diagram but only modifies the scale of the oxygen chemical potential. Comparing the experimental and calculated diagrams, the following key observations can be made:

1. **Li-P-O system**: All compounds present in the experimental Li$_2$O-P$_2$O$_5$ phase diagram at 500°C are present in our calculated diagrams. Of the 5 known Li-P binary phases, LiP$_7$, LiP, Li$_3$P and Li$_3$P$_7$ are present in our phase diagram, while LiP$_5$ is only slightly above the convex hull.

2. **Li-Fe-O system**: Our phase diagram correctly reflects the stable compounds of LiFeO$_2$ and Li$_5$FeO$_4$ in the experimental diagram at 400°C. However, instead of LiFe$_5$O$_8$, the lithiated form, Li$_3$Fe$_5$O$_8$, is present in our phase diagram.

3. **Fe-P-O system**: The experimental Fe-P-O phase diagram for Fe/P $\geq$ 1 at 900°C has been established by Modaressi et al. Of the nine ternary phases identified by Modaressi in this region, five are present in our calculated phase diagram (Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$, Fe$_4$(PO$_4$)$_2$O, Fe$_2$P$_2$O$_7$, Fe$_7$(PO$_4$)$_6$ and FePO$_4$). Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_3$O, Fe$_2$(PO$_4$)$_O$ and Fe$_9$(PO$_4$)$_O$$_8$ are present in the experimental diagram but not present in our calculated diagram, even though these phases were considered in our calculations. The experimental diagram also identifies Fe$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$O to be a stable phase, though this phase was not included in our computations as it is not in the ICSD database. For Fe/P <1, our calculated phase diagram identifies Fe$_3$(P$_2$O$_7$)$_2$, Fe$_4$(P$_2$O$_7$)$_3$, FeP$_4$O$_{11}$, Fe$_2$P$_4$O$_{12}$ and Fe(PO$_3$)$_3$ to be stable phases.

4. **Li-Fe-P system**: Compared with the experimental Li-Fe-P phase diagram at 800°C, the binary Li$_3$P, LiP, Fe$_3$P and Fe$_2$P phases are present in our calculated diagram. However, FeP and the only known ternary phase, LiFeP, are not stable phases in our calculated phase diagram. Compared with the experimental binary Fe-P phase diagram, all the iron phosphide phases are present in our calculated diagram except FeP and FeP$_2$. As mentioned in the
previous section, we expect significant errors in the calculated energies of metallic phases such as Fe metal and the iron phosphides as the GGA+U methodology is less applicable. Indeed, using GGA energies (without the +U extension) results in a Li-Fe-P phase diagram (not shown) that is more consistent with the experimental diagram. With the exception of Fe₃P, all other phases present in the experimental diagram are present in the GGA phase diagram. However, the +U extension is necessary to obtain accurate redox energies for the iron oxide and phosphate phases, which are the phases of interest in this work. Hence, GGA+U energies of all phases were used in constructing the phase diagram.

Figure 3-1: Phase diagrams for ternary subsystems of Li-Fe-P-O.
3.4 Constant $\mu O_2$ phase diagrams

Using the calculated energies and the methodology outlined in Chapter 2, we constructed a series of phase diagrams at constant $\mu O_2$, given in Figures 3-2 to 3-5. Diagrams at lower $\mu O_2$ represent more reducing environments, which correspond to higher temperatures and/or lower oxygen partial pressures and/or the presence of reducing agents, while higher $\mu O_2$ represents less reducing (or more oxidizing) environments. The values of $\mu O_2$ were chosen so as to present diagrams at key transition $\mu O_2$, i.e., values of $\mu O_2$ where an important phase of interest (say LiFePO$_4$) is formed or removed. Figure 3-2 shows diagrams at mildly reducing environments in which the monoclinic Li$_3$Fe$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$ phase is still present, and most Fe-containing phases have Fe in the 3+ oxidation state. At $\mu O_2 = -11.52$ eV, LiFePO$_4$ appears as the first stable Fe$^{2+}$-containing phase. As the environment becomes more reducing, phases containing Fe$^{3+}$ are progressively being reduced to Fe$^{2+}$. Figure 3-3 shows phase diagrams where mixed valence phosphates, such as Fe$_7$(PO$_4$)$_6$, are being reduced to Fe$^{2+}$ phases. At even more reducing environments (Figure 3-4), Fe$^{2+}$ becomes the dominant valence state for Fe. Finally, at the extremely reducing environments represented by Figure 3-5, the iron phosphates are reduced to the metallic iron phosphides (Fe valence of 0) and eventually, LiFePO$_4$ itself is reduced. The reduction of the remaining highly stable oxygen-containing phases (Li$_3$PO$_4$ and Li$_2$O) takes place at conditions much more reducing than those of interest in this work. Under those conditions, the ternary Li-Fe-P phase (Figure 3-1(d)) is reproduced.

Also plotted in all the phase diagrams are dotted lines representing the path of Li off-stoichiometry with respect to LiFePO$_4$. Compositions to the left of LiFePO$_4$ have Li-excess, while compositions to the right have Li-deficiency. For compositions that do not lie at a stable node on the phase diagram, the phases in equilibrium are given by the vertices of the triangle bounding that composition. For instance, at $\mu O_2 = -16.70$ eV, the phase diagram indicates that a Li-deficient composition (Li$_{1-x}$FePO$_4$) will consist of LiFePO$_4$, FeP$_4$ and Fe$_2$P at equilibrium.
Figure 3-2: Li-Fe-P-O phase diagrams at less reducing environments.

(a) $\mu_{O_2} = -10.50$ eV, $Fe_7(PO_4)_6$ appears

(b) $\mu_{O_2} = -11.52$ eV, $LiFePO_4$ appears
Figure 3-3: Li-Fe-P-O phase diagrams at more reducing environments.

(a) $\mu_{O_2} = -11.74 \text{ eV}$, $\text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ disappears

(b) $\mu_{O_2} = -12.38 \text{ eV}$, $\text{Fe}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ appears
Figure 3-4: Li-Fe-P-O phase diagrams at highly reducing environments.
Figure 3-5: Li-Fe-P-O phase diagrams at extremely reducing environments.

(a) $\mu_{O_2} = -16.70$ eV, Fe$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$ disappears

(b) $\mu_{O_2} = -16.74$ eV, LiFePO$_4$ disappears
3.5 Modified Ellingham diagram

The effective oxygen partial pressure may be affected by the presence of reducing or oxidizing agents. For example, to improve electrical conductivity, carbon containing precursors are often used in the synthesis of LiFePO$_4$. Carbon is a reducing agent and carbothermal reduction (CTR) is used extensively to reduce metal oxides to metals. The preparation of LiFePO$_4$ using CTR has been carried by various groups since it was first reported by Barker et al. in 2003.[11]

Carbon oxidation during CTR can take place via two different reactions:

\[ C + O_2 \rightleftharpoons CO_2 \]
\[ 2C + O_2 \rightleftharpoons 2CO \]

The CO$_2$ reaction, which is more thermodynamically favorable at lower temperatures, has minimal volume change and hence, negligible entropy change. At temperatures in excess of 1000 K however, the formation of CO becomes more thermodynamically favorable than the CO$_2$ reaction.[71] The CO reaction creates one extra mole of gas for every mole of oxygen consumed, and therefore involves an increase in entropy. As temperature increases, the free energy of formation of CO becomes increasingly negative, and this leads to stronger reducing conditions. In this work, we focused primarily on the CO reaction since LiFePO$_4$ synthesis is usually conducted at relatively high temperatures. Another reducing agent commonly used in LiFePO$_4$ synthesis is hydrogen gas, which is oxidized according to the following reaction:

\[ 2H_2 + O_2 \rightleftharpoons 2H_2O \]

For metal oxides, a typical method of showing the relationship between the reduction transition temperatures, oxygen partial pressures and the presence of reducing agents is an Ellingham diagram,[72] which shows the change in free energy of the oxidation reaction as a function of temperature. In this work, we have used a modified Ellingham construction where the change in Gibbs free energy for reduction
reactions of interest (normalized to a per O₂ molecule basis) in the Li-Fe-P-O system is plotted against temperature.

Consider the following general reaction:

\[
\text{Condensed Reactants} + \text{Gaseous Reactants} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Condensed Products} + \text{Gaseous Products}
\]

where the gaseous products can be O₂, CO or H₂O depending on whether the reaction is a thermal reduction, carbothermal reduction or hydrogen reduction respectively, and the gaseous reactant comprises H₂ in the case of hydrogen reduction. As the entropy contributions of gases are much higher than that of condensed phases, the change in Gibbs free energy of the reaction can then be approximated as follows:

\[
\Delta G = G_{\text{Products}} - G_{\text{Reactants}} \\
\approx H_{\text{Products}} - H_{\text{Reactants}} - T(S_{\text{Gaseous Products}} - S_{\text{Gaseous Reactants}})
\]

where the enthalpy, \( H \), can be approximated with the internal energy, \( E \), at 0K. To determine \( \Delta G \), we have used the energies for the various phases from our DFT calculations and experimental entropy values for the gases.

From the phase diagrams, we were able to extract the predicted phase relations for key reactions of interest. For instance, from the phase diagrams in Figures [3-2(b)] and [3-3(a)] we can see that a system with a Li:Fe:P composition of 3:2:3 will transit from a single phase, \( \text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3 \), to a mixture of \( \text{LiFePO}_4 \), \( \text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4 \) and \( \text{LiFeP}_2\text{O}_7 \) as \( \mu_{\text{O}_2} \) decreases, i.e., \( \text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3 \) is being reduced to \( \text{LiFePO}_4 \), \( \text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4 \) and \( \text{LiFeP}_2\text{O}_7 \) with the release of O₂.

Based on the calculated phase diagrams, we have constructed the modified Ellingham diagram presented in Figure [3-6]. The reactions chosen are those in which a phase of interest is being reduced or is being formed via a reduction reaction (e.g., formation and reduction of \( \text{LiFePO}_4 \)). As these reduction reactions are not thermodynamically favored at 0 K, the changes in free energy of the reactions are positive.
and decrease as temperature increases due to the entropy associated with the release of oxygen gas.

The estimated transition temperatures for thermal reduction at the reference oxygen partial pressure of 0.1 MPa are given by the intercept of the reaction lines (labeled 1-9 in Figure 3-6) with the temperature axis. At the transition temperature, the $\Delta G$ of a reaction changes from positive to negative and the reaction becomes thermodynamically favorable. The thermal reduction temperatures at lower oxygen partial pressures can be found where the $\Delta G(T)$ line intersects the relevant $p_{O_2}$ line. Finally, the transition temperature for reduction by C/CO-CTR or hydrogen reduction at the reference partial pressure is given by the temperatures which the $\Delta G(T)$ line intersects the C or H$_2$ line.

The iron oxide reactions are included as a useful reference for comparison with the widely available Ellingham Diagram for the metal oxides. The predicted C/CO-CTR transition temperatures for FeO $\rightarrow$ Fe, Fe$_3$O$_4$ $\rightarrow$ FeO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ $\rightarrow$ Fe$_3$O$_4$ are approximately 1130 K, 880 K and 490 K respectively, which compares reasonably well to the experimental values of approximately 990 K, 930 K and 540 K.

### 3.6 Phase equilibria pertinent to LiFePO$_4$ synthesis

One of our key motivations for fully characterizing the Li-Fe-P-O phase diagram is to apply these diagrams to understand how different synthesis conditions create LiFePO$_4$ with very different electrochemical performance. From Figures 3-2 to 3-5 we observe that olivine LiFePO$_4$ is stable over a wide range of oxidation environments. LiFePO$_4$ is the first Fe$^{2+}$-containing phase to appear at $\mu_{O_2} = -11.52$ eV, and the last of the Fe-containing phosphates to be reduced at $\mu_{O_2} = -16.74$ eV. This large stability range provides a wide range of options for selecting the phases that can co-exist with LiFePO$_4$.

In recent years, various research groups have experimented with synthesis ap-
Figure 3-6: Modified Ellingham diagram for reduction reactions in Li-Fe-P-O system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Line Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3 \text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{(PO}_4\text{)}_3 \rightleftharpoons 4 \text{LiFePO}_4 + 2 \text{LiFeP}_2\text{O}_7 + \text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4 + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{3}{2} \text{Fe}_7\text{(PO}_4\text{)}_6 \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}_3\text{(P}_2\text{O}_7\text{)}_2 + \frac{5}{2} \text{Fe}_3\text{(PO}_4\text{)}_2 + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \text{Fe}_3\text{(P}_2\text{O}_7\text{)}_2 \rightleftharpoons 2 \text{Fe}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 + \text{Fe}_2\text{P}<em>4\text{O}</em>{12} + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4 \text{LiFeP}_2\text{O}_7 \rightleftharpoons 4 \text{LiPO}_3 + 2 \text{Fe}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6 \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \rightleftharpoons 4 \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \rightleftharpoons 6 \text{FeO} + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{6}{5} \text{Fe}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \rightleftharpoons \frac{4}{5} \text{Fe}_3\text{(PO}_4\text{)}_2 + \frac{4}{5} \text{P} + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \text{FeO} \rightleftharpoons 2 \text{Fe} + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{4} \text{Fe}_3\text{(PO}_4\text{)}_2 \rightleftharpoons \frac{1}{28} \text{FeP}_4 + \frac{5}{14} \text{Fe}_2\text{P} + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{3}{4} \text{LiFePO}_4 \rightleftharpoons \frac{1}{4} \text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4 + \frac{5}{14} \text{Fe}_2\text{P} + \frac{1}{28} \text{FeP}_4 + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{CO} \rightleftharpoons 2\text{C} + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
approaches for LiFePO$_4$ in which Li off-stoichiometry is introduced under a variety of reducing environments. The aim is to achieve phase equilibria in which conducting phases (e.g., iron phosphides) are formed together with LiFePO$_4$, thereby compensating for the low electrical conductivity for LiFePO$_4$. In three papers published between 2004 and 2007 (Herle et al. [20], Rho et al. [21], Ellis et al. [22]), Nazar and colleagues reported that the increase in conductivity in off-stoichiometric, aliovalently-doped LiFePO$_4$ is due to the formation of iron phosphides during LiFePO$_4$ synthesis. Systematic investigations were carried out on “Zr-doped” Li$_{1-x}$Zr$_{0.01}$FePO$_4$ (with the doping resulting in a Li-deficiency), stoichiometric LiFePO$_4$ and undoped Li-deficient LiFePO$_4$ processed at temperatures ranging from 600-850°C. They found that regardless of doping, Li-deficient Li$_{1-x}$FePO$_4$ ($0.01 < x < 0.07$) formed Fe$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ at 600°C, which disappeared at 800°C to form Fe$_2$P and iron phosphocarbide (Fe$_{75}$P$_{15}$C$_{10}$) at the grain boundaries. The fraction of Fe$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ was found to be correlated with degree of Li-deficiency. For stoichiometric LiFePO$_4$, formation of phosphides was found at a higher temperature (850°C). Their investigations also found that formation of iron phosphides could be achieved at lower temperatures and shorter sintering periods using stronger reducing environments such as 7%H$_2$-N$_2$ or NH$_3$ atmospheres.

Similar investigations were carried out by the Masquelier group (Delacourt et al. [30, 62]). They found that Li-rich compositions processed at temperatures > 500°C in a N$_2$ atm consist of LiFePO$_4$, Li$_3$Fe$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$ and iron oxides. For Li-deficient compositions, the mixed valence phosphate, Fe$_7$(PO$_4$)$_6$, was formed, and as the lithium content decreased, less Fe$_7$(PO$_4$)$_6$ formed but α-FePO$_4$ appeared. Significant amounts of Li$_3$PO$_4$ and iron oxides (Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$) were also found as a result of partial decomposition of LiFePO$_4$.

Kim et al. [73] also reported on the effects of synthesis conditions on the properties of LiFePO$_4$. Their experiments found Fe$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ and Li$_3$PO$_4$ impurities for Li-deficient and Li-excess stoichiometries respectively, consistent with the findings of the Nazar and Masquelier groups.

Table 3.2 summarizes the predicted phase equilibria from our first principles Li-Fe-P-O$_2$ phase diagrams for three values of $\mu_{O_2}$, which we believe to be representative
of the range of oxidation environments in experimental literature. Lower $\mu O_2$ phase equilibria correspond to more reducing experimental conditions of higher temperatures and/or lower oxygen partial pressures and/or the presence of reducing agents, and vice versa. Comparing the predicted phase equilibria with the experimental findings, we find that:

1. **Li-deficient stoichiometries:** At less reducing conditions ($\mu O_2 = -10.50$ eV), the predicted phase equilibria for intermediate to severe Li-deficiency compositions is consistent with the formation of $Fe_7(PO_4)_6$ and $FePO_4$ observed by Delacourt *et al.*[62] $LiFePO_4$ itself is not present in the phase diagram at this $\mu O_2$ level and begins to appear only at $\mu O_2 = -11.52$ eV (Figure 3-2(b)). However, inhomogeneities in the reaction environment may allow the co-existence of $LiFePO_4$ with $Fe_7(PO_4)_6$ and $FePO_4$ in actual experiments. At more reducing conditions, the predicted phase equilibria contains $Fe_2P_2O_7$ and under extremely reducing conditions, iron phosphides are formed. This prediction again compares well with the findings of the Nazar group. Their observation that the proportion of $Fe_2P_2O_7$ decreases with more Li-deficient stoichiometries can also be seen from the first principles phase diagrams by way of the lever rule. We note that for highly reducing environments, the first principles phase diagrams predict the formation of $FeP_4$, which is seldom seen under experimental conditions. As mentioned previously, we attribute this to likely errors in the calculated energies of the metallic iron phosphides due to the application of the GGA+$U$ methodology. Furthermore, phosphorus is treated as a condensed phase in our framework, though it is likely the actual experimental conditions are low P-chemical potential environments due to the vaporization of P.

2. **Li-rich stoichiometries:** For compositions with slight to intermediate Li-excess, the predicted phase equilibria comprises $Li_3PO_4$ and iron oxides in all but the most extreme reducing conditions. This observation is consistent with the findings of Kim *et al.*[73] The formation of $Li_3Fe_2(PO_4)_3$, $LiFePO_4$ and $Fe_2O_3$ for Li-rich compositions observed by Delacourt *et al.*[30] may be due to the partial
oxidation of LiFePO₄ (Figure 3-2(b)) or minor inhomogeneities in the reactants or reaction environment.

3. Stoichiometric LiFePO₄: At sufficiently oxidizing environments, the predicted equilibrium phases are Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ and Fe₂O₃. This is consistent with the findings of Belharouak et al. [74]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>μO₂/eV</th>
<th>Li-deficient</th>
<th>Stoichiometric</th>
<th>Li-excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| -10.50 | Severe Deficiency  
LiFeP₂O₇ +  
FePO₄ + Fe₇(PO₄)₆  
Intermediate Deficiency  
LiFeP₂O₇ + Fe₂O₃ +  
Fe₇(PO₄)₆  
Slight Deficiency  
Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ +  
LiFeP₂O₇ + Fe₂O₃ | Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ + Fe₂O₃  
Slight-Intermediate Excess  
Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ + Fe₂O₃ +  
Li₃PO₄ |                          |
| -13.08 | LiFePO₄ + Fe₂P₂O₇                          | LiFePO₄                          | Slight-Intermediate Excess  
LiFePO₄ + Li₃PO₄ +  
Fe₂O₃ |
| -16.70 | LiFePO₄ + FeP₄ + Fe₂P                     | LiFePO₄                          | Slight Excess  
LiFePO₄ + Li₃PO₄ + Fe₃P  
Intermediate Excess  
Li₃PO₄ + Fe₃P + Fe |

Table 3.2: Predicted phase equilibria under various oxidation and Li off-stoichiometry conditions.

From Figure 3-6, we can obtain the predicted temperatures necessary to achieve reduction of LiFePO₄ and Fe₂P₂O₇ to the iron phosphides. In the absence of reducing agents, the formation of iron phosphides from reduction of LiFePO₄ is predicted to occur at > 1500 K. In the presence of carbon, however, the predicted transition temperature decreases to around 1100 K (assuming CO is produced at the reference partial pressure). In a H₂ atmosphere, the predicted transition temperature is even lower at around 900 K (again assuming H₂ and H₂O are at the reference partial
pressure). For formation of the iron phosphides from Fe₂P₂O₇, the phase diagrams indicate that this happens through a two-stage reduction where Fe₂P₂O₇ decomposes first to Fe₃(PO₄)₂, which is in turn reduced to the iron phosphides. The latter process is predicted to take place at slightly lower temperatures than that for reduction of LiFePO₄.

Comparing with the experimental literature, the following observations can be made:

1. The predicted temperatures for C/CO-CTR of LiFePO₄ compare well with those in experimental literature, which are generally in the range of 800-900°C (1073-1173 K).

2. Herle et al. [20] has observed that LiFePO₄ samples synthesized from non-carbon containing precursors do not become electrically conducive at any of the temperatures investigated. This observation is consistent with the high thermal reduction temperatures predicted for stoichiometric LiFePO₄ in the absence of reducing agents.

3. Ellis et al. [22] has reported that CTR of Fe₂P₂O₇ to iron phosphides takes place at around 800°C, compared with 850°C for LiFePO₄. Reduction in a 7%H₂-N₂ atmosphere was found to take place at an even lower temperature and shorter sintering times. These observations are again consistent with the predictions from our modified Ellingham diagram.

Overall, we found that the predicted phase equilibria and transition temperatures from our first principles phase diagram and modified Ellingham diagram agree remarkably well with the findings in the experimental literature surveyed. While there are some differences in some of the phases and temperatures predicted, these errors do not seem to affect in a significant way the phase evolution as function of composition or reduction conditions.
3.7 Applications

Having validated the diagrams with experimental literature, they can be used to evaluate current approaches to synthesizing LiFePO$_4$ and in the development of new synthesis routes to achieve desired properties.

Yamada et al.\cite{14} identified two key challenges to achieving optimal performance for LiFePO$_4$: i) undesirable particle growth at T > 600°C and ii) the presence of residual non-crystalline Fe$^{3+}$ phase at T < 500°C. Based on our literature survey, current approaches to addressing these obstacles seem to primarily focus on tuning the oxidation environment and Li off-stoichiometry. Indeed, our phase diagrams show that the nature and degree of Li off-stoichiometry is an important factor in influencing the eventual phase equilibria obtained. For instance, an excess of lithium is often introduced during LiFePO$_4$ synthesis to compensate for lithium volatility at high temperature firing conditions. As can be seen from Figures 3-2 to 3-4, a Li-excess stoichiometry is likely to result in the formation of undesirable iron oxides at low temperatures, while higher temperatures may lead to excessive particle growth. The phase diagrams provide a means to identify possible phase equilibria which may offer better performance. Applying the lever rule on the phase diagrams also provides a means to determine the stoichiometric proportions needed to achieve a desired balance of LiFePO$_4$ and impurity phases, and the modified Ellingham diagram provides a method for fine-tuning the chemical and physical environments to achieve the desired degree of reduction of LiFePO$_4$ and impurity phases.

Indeed, other off-stoichiometries to achieve “useful” impurity phases are the subject of a recent paper by Kang et al.\cite{75}. Using our computed phase diagrams, Kang et al. synthesized LiFe$_{0.9}P_{0.95}O_{4-\delta}$, an off-stoichiometry designed to achieve the formation of glassy lithium phosphates that are well known to be good, stable Li$^+$ conductors (see Figure 3-4(b) along the Li$_4$P$_2$O$_7$-LiFePO$_4$ composition line). The result is an extremely high-rate LiFePO$_4$ material where capacities in excess of 100C were achieved, and Li$^+$ diffusion in and out of the active material is aided by the highly ionically conductive surface coating. Kang et al. also used a similar approach
to improve the electrochemical performance of LiMnPO$_4$ based on the Li-Mn-P-O phase diagram calculated from first principles in this thesis (see Chapter 4), albeit with less success than LiFePO$_4$.\textsuperscript{[76]}

While our main motivation for this work is to apply the phase diagrams to LiFePO$_4$ synthesis, this is by no means the only phase of interest in the Li-Fe-P-O system. For example, iron phosphates, in particular FePO$_4$, has been used as a catalyst for oxidative dehydrogenation reactions for many years,\textsuperscript{[77]} while Li$_3$PO$_4$ is used as a solid electrolyte in film batteries. The phase diagrams developed can be provide a better understanding of redox phase relations for these phases.

### 3.8 Conclusion

We have characterized the phase diagram of the Li-Fe-P-O system as a function of oxidation conditions using first principles techniques. As we only consider the entropy of gaseous phases, temperature and oxygen partial pressure can be put on the same scale. By incorporating experimental thermodynamic data, we were also able to construct a modified Ellingham diagram to provide a visual representation of the relation between the temperatures, oxygen partial pressures and chemical environment necessary to achieve a desired reduction reaction. The predicted phase equilibria and reduction temperatures compare well to experimental findings on stoichiometric and off-stoichiometric LiFePO$_4$ reactions. The combined application of the phase diagrams and Ellingham diagram provides a means to more efficiently focus experimental efforts to optimize synthesis approaches for LiFePO$_4$, and has indeed been applied to the development of a high-rate LiFePO$_4$ with highly conductive glassy impurity phases.
Chapter 4

Estimating the thermal stability of intercalation electrodes

4.1 Thermal stability in olivine cathodes

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in LiMnPO$_4$, LiCoPO$_4$ and LiNiPO$_4$ which could potentially deliver higher theoretical energy densities than LiFePO$_4$ due to their higher measured/predicted voltages of 4.1 V, 4.8 V and 5.1 V vs Li/Li$^+$ respectively.\[23\] Of these promising alternatives, LiMnPO$_4$ has garnered the most interest because its voltage of 4.1 V is higher than LiFePO$_4$ (3.5 V) but well within the limitations of current organic electrolytes. While focus has been on understanding LiMnPO$_4$’s poor rate performance due to low ionic and electronic conductivities,\[25\] a high surface energy barrier for Li diffusion,\[26\] or significant volume change at the phase boundary,\[13\] it has been tacitly assumed that the charged compound, MnPO$_4$, would match the excellent thermal stability of FePO$_4$.

Two recent investigations by Kim et al.\[28\] and Chen et al.\[29\] have cast doubt on that assumption by demonstrating that while fully lithiated LiMnPO$_4$ remains stable up to fairly high temperatures, delithiated MnPO$_4$ decomposes at temperatures of around 150-200°C, evolving O$_2$ and heat in the process. This is in stark contrast to delithiated FePO$_4$ which has been shown to be stable for temperatures up to 500-600°C.\[30\]

There is also experimental evidence that the other higher voltage olivines, LiCoPO$_4$
and LiNiPO₄, are similarly thermally unstable upon delithiation. Using synchrotron diffraction and differential thermal analysis, Bramnik et al. [31] found that delithiated LiₓCoPO₄ (x = 0.6, 0) decomposes readily around 100-200°C. Preliminary work by Wang et al. [32] also found LiNiPO₄ to be unstable upon delithiation, and Ni₂P₂O₇ is formed.

In this thesis, we constructed the oxygen grand potential phase diagrams for the Li-M-P-O (M = Fe, Mn, Ni, Co) systems using the methodology in Chapter 2. We were able to confirm the lower stability of delithiated MnPO₄, CoPO₄ and NiPO₄, and demonstrate that the difference in the relative stabilities of the delithiated MPO₄ phases can be explained in terms of the competing phases present in the phase diagrams [2].

4.2 Computational details

In this portion of the thesis, we utilized the high-throughput computational infrastructure developed as part of the Materials Genome project at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. While the essential thermodynamic methodology for phase diagram construction is the same as that outlined in Chapter 2, there were slight differences in the computational parameters due to efficiency and other considerations inherent in a high-throughput project.

We calculated the energies of all structural prototypes in the Li-M-P-O systems in the 2006 version of the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database [52]. Compounds having partial occupancies were related to the ordered structure with lowest electrostatic energy [78, 79] at the same or close composition from a group of representative structures enumerated with a technique similar to that proposed by Hart et al. [80].

U values of 3.9 eV, 4.0 eV and 6.0 eV were used for Mn, Fe, and Ni respectively, following Wang et al.’s method [59] of fitting the calculated binary oxide formation enthalpies to experimental values from the Kubaschewski tables [60]. For Co, a U value of 5.7 eV was used based on the average of the values determined by Zhou et al. [23] for the olivine system. The reason why a different scheme was used for Co is because...
the $U$ value of 3.4 eV determined using Wang et al.’s method led to a significant underestimation of the voltages, while Zhou et al.’s value led to much better agreement with experiments. A plane wave energy cut-off of 520eV and $k$-point density of at least $500/(\text{number of atoms in unit cell})$ were used for all computations. All calculations were spin-polarized starting from a high-spin ferromagnetic configuration for Fe, Mn, Co and Ni.

4.3 Phase diagrams at critical $\mu_{O_2}$ for reduction

To investigate the stability of delithiated MPO$_4$, we have constructed the phase diagrams at various $\mu_{O_2}$. Increased temperature and lowering oxygen partial pressures leads to a more reducing condition, i.e., more negative $\mu_{O_2}$. In this work, we have set the reference oxygen chemical potential to be zero at the room temperature air (298K, 0.21atm) value obtained with the calculated value of $E_{O_2}$ in equation 2.5. This calculated value has been corrected for the O$_2$ binding energy error and GGA error associated with adding electrons to the oxygen $p$ orbital when O$^{2-}$ is formed through a constant -1.36eV shift.[59] Experimental entropy data for O$_2$ at 0.1MPa were obtained from the JANAF thermochemical tables.[71]

The critical temperature for reduction of the MPO$_4$ corresponds to an $\mu_{O_2}$ below which the compound decomposes. The equilibrium reduction products are given by the phases stable below this critical $\mu_{O_2}$. Figure 4-1 show the oxygen grand potential phase diagrams for the Li-M-P-O systems at $\mu_{O_2}$ just below that required for the reduction of the delithiated olivine MPO$_4$ phase. It should be noted that the delithiated olivine is not the ground state structure for the FePO$_4$ composition, and the trigonal ground state phase and all phases lower in energy than the olivine phase[81] have been removed from the dataset to determine the non-equilibrium reduction pathway. We will discuss the consequence of this removal in the next section.

Reduction of FePO$_4$ takes place at a much lower $\mu_{O_2}$ of -1.72 eV ($\approx$ 700°C under air) compared to MnPO$_4$, which reduces at $\mu_{O_2}$ of -0.83 eV ($\approx$ 370°C). Our calculations predict CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$ to be even less stable than MnPO$_4$ and reduce even
(a) Li-Fe-P-O phase diagram at $\mu O_2 = -1.72$ eV (T $\approx$ 700°C).

(b) Li-Mn-P-O phase diagram at $\mu O_2 = -1.72$ eV (T $\approx$ 700°C).

(c) Li-Co-P-O phase diagram at $\mu O_2 = 0$ eV (T $\approx$ 25°C).

(d) Li-Ni-P-O phase diagram at $\mu O_2 = 0$ eV (T $\approx$ 25°C).

Figure 4-1: Li-M-P-O (M = Fe, Mn, Co, Ni) phase diagrams for $\mu O_2$ just below critical values where delithiated MPO$_4$ olivine decomposes. The composition of MPO$_4$ is marked with an X.
at $\mu_{O_2}$ of 0 eV ($\approx 25^\circ C$). From the phase triangle bounding the MPO$_4$ compositions, we can see that the delithiated MPO$_4$ undergo the following initial reduction reactions:

$$\text{FePO}_4 \rightarrow 0.1 \text{ Fe}_3(\text{P}_2\text{O}_7)_2 + 0.1 \text{ Fe}_7(\text{PO}_4)_6 + 0.1 \text{ O}_2$$
$$\text{MnPO}_4 \rightarrow 0.5 \text{ Mn}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 + 0.25 \text{ O}_2$$
$$\text{CoPO}_4 \rightarrow 0.25 \text{ Co}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 + 0.25 \text{ Co(PO}_3)_2 + 0.25 \text{ O}_2$$
$$\text{NiPO}_4 \rightarrow 0.5 \text{ Ni}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 + 0.25 \text{ O}_2$$

The predicted reduction temperatures and products are in fairly good agreement with experimental findings. Delacourt et al.\cite{30} have previously reported the formation of the mixed valence Fe$_7$(PO$_4$)$_6$ phase for Li$_x$FePO$_4$ ($x << 1$) at 500-600$^\circ$C. Kim et al.\cite{28} and Chen et al.\cite{29} also reported that the decomposition of MnPO$_4$ leads to the formation of Mn$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ at 150-200$^\circ$C. Bramnik et al.\cite{31} has found that delithiated Li$_x$CoPO$_4$ ($x = 0.6, 0$) are unstable upon heating, and decompose readily in the range 100-200$^\circ$C. Co$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ was found as the decomposition product, which differs from the prediction of our phase diagram. However, Co$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ is only less than 1 meV above the convex hull formed by Co$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$ and Co(PO$_3$)$_2$, which is well within the error tolerance we expect from our DFT calculations. Preliminary work by Wang et al.\cite{32} found LiNiPO$_4$ to be unstable upon delithiation and immediately decomposes to Ni$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ with the evolution of oxygen gas.

The calculated temperatures may differ from experimentally measured temperatures for several reasons. Firstly, a 100K temperature difference corresponds to about 10 meV, well within the errors of our DFT calculations and entropy approximations. Secondly, the presence of reducing agents such as the electrolyte and carbon under experimental conditions will tend to decrease the actual decomposition temperatures. We also observe that in MnPO$_4$ decomposition, the Mn/P ratio stays constant and only O$_2$ release takes place, while for FePO$_4$, longer range transport will be needed to create phases with Fe/P ratio different from 1.
4.4 $O_2$ evolved versus temperature

Figure 4-2 summarizes the $O_2$ evolution versus temperature for the reduction paths of FePO$_4$, MnPO$_4$, CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$. Both the non-equilibrium paths and the equilibrium paths are shown for FePO$_4$. The non-equilibrium path corresponds to the likely reaction path if the FePO$_4$ olivine is unable to transform to the lowest energy trigonal structure[82, 83] (space group $P3_121$) due to kinetic limitations, and proceeds to reduce into other phases with the evolution of oxygen. The equilibrium path assumes that olivine FePO$_4$ is able to transform first into the trigonal phase before undergoing reduction.

For FePO$_4$, $O_2$ evolution takes places at a much lower temperature for the non-equilibrium path as compared to the equilibrium path. The path taken depends on the relative kinetics, which is affected by experimental conditions and Li content. Stability investigations by Yang et al. and Rousse et al.[82, 83] have shown
that orthorhombic FePO$_4$ transforms irreversibly to trigonal FePO$_4$ only at fairly high-temperatures of 600-700°C, though there is some controversy as to the transition temperature for this structural transformation.\cite{81} Regardless, the fact that the mixed valence Fe$_7$(PO$_4$)$_6$ was observed by Delacourt et al.\cite{30} during Li$_x$FePO$_4$ ($x << 1$) decomposition at 500-600°C suggests that some degree of non-equilibrium decomposition does take place under certain experimental conditions. For MnPO$_4$, the olivine phase is the lowest energy structure. Nonetheless, the critical temperature for the onset of O$_2$ evolution in non-equilibrium FePO$_4$ reduction is still much higher than that for MnPO$_4$. Both CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$ are predicted to be unstable and undergo immediate decomposition.

From Figure 4-2, we may also observe that initial reduction of FePO$_4$ evolves 0.1 moles of oxygen per mole of cathode, compared to 0.25 moles for initial reduction of MnPO$_4$, CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$. Hence, not only does MnPO$_4$, CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$ reduce at a much lower temperature than FePO$_4$, they also evolve 2.5 times the amount of O$_2$. Even at higher temperatures between 1100°C and 1300°C, FePO$_4$ only evolves 0.17 moles of oxygen per mole of cathode, significantly less than MnPO$_4$, CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$. This greater amount of O$_2$ evolved presents a significant safety hazard as O$_2$ released can ignite the organic electrolytes used in rechargeable Li batteries.

### 4.5 Implications for cathode design

Our results show that delithiated FePO$_4$ is inherently more thermally stable than MnPO$_4$, CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$, and the amount of O$_2$ evolved upon initial decomposition is also much less. Specifically, the greater stability of FePO$_4$ over MnPO$_4$ may be explained through ligand field theory.\cite{84} It is well-known that in an octahedral environment such as MO$_6$ in olivines, half-filled high-spin $t^3_{2g}e^2_g$ is a highly stable electronic configuration due to the exchange stabilization arising from the five parallel-spin electrons. We would therefore expect that Fe$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, both of which have the half-filled high-spin $t^3_{2g}e^2_g$ configuration, to have greater stability as compared to Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{3+}$ respectively. Indeed, there is a greater proportion of Mn$^{2+}$ phases relative to
Mn$^{3+}$ in the Li-Mn-P-O phase diagram, whereas the situation is reversed in the case of Fe. Furthermore, LiMnPO$_4$ is stable over a much wider range of oxygen chemical potentials ($-0.56eV < \mu_{O_2} < -7.02eV$) than LiFePO$_4$ ($-2.36eV < \mu_{O_2} < -6.24eV$). A similar argument has been used to explain why the LiFePO$_4$ voltage is unusually low.\textsuperscript{23}

The key factor influencing the amount of O$_2$ evolved is the competing phases present in the system, which is also related to the relative stabilities of the +2 and +3 oxidation states. In the Fe system, the relative stability of the Fe$^{3+}$ oxidation state leads to the presence of the mixed valence Fe$^{7}$(PO$_4$)$_6$ and Fe$_3$(P$_2$O$_7$)$_2$ phases, which results in a smaller amount of O$_2$ evolved. On the other hand, MnPO$_4$ immediately reduces to Mn$_2$P$_2$O$_7$, which has the Mn$^{2+}$ oxidation state, resulting in significantly higher O$_2$ evolution. Similarly, the dearth of mixed valence Co and Ni phases also explains the relatively high O$_2$ evolution in these systems.

Huggins\textsuperscript{85} has previously performed a thermodynamic analysis of the relationship between equilibrium Li voltages and oxygen partial pressure for a number of ternary oxide systems. He found that extrapolation of the observed trends indicates high values of equilibrium O$_2$ partial pressures in high voltage materials. Our results similarly suggest that there could be some tradeoff between higher voltage and thermal stability of the charged cathode. However, the voltage of a rechargeable Li battery cathode material is related to the difference in energies between the delithiated and lithiated phases.\textsuperscript{23} Therefore, a higher voltage can come from either a more stable lithiated phase, or a less stable delithiated phase. So this tradeoff between higher voltage and thermal stability of the charged cathode may not be absolute. We also note that coating strategies have been successfully employed to stabilize the charged cathode in LiCoO$_2$ batteries,\textsuperscript{86, 87} and similar strategies could possibly be developed for the olivine cathodes to mitigate safety concerns.
4.6 Thermal stability as a design criteria in a high-throughput materials search

The technique developed in this work can be adapted to be a design criteria for a high-throughput search. The chemical potential range for which a delithiated cathode is stable can be obtained easily from the oxygen chemical potentials of the facets bounding that phase in the relevant composition phase diagram. Then, by constructing the oxygen grand potential phase diagram for that system just outside of those limits, we can obtain the decomposition phases and the amount of $O_2$ evolved. The critical $\mu_{O_2}$ for reduction to take place and the amount of $O_2$ evolved can therefore serve as thermal stability design criteria for a high-throughput materials search, be it for intercalation electrodes or other materials.

However, we would like to point out an inherent limitation of the approach. These design criteria are based on a thermodynamic analysis and does not take into account kinetic limitations. While a material may be thermodynamically unstable under certain conditions, it could be kinetically stable (e.g., diamond carbon under standard conditions). As such, these design criteria should be used only as a broad initial screening tool. For the case of FePO$_4$ in our work, we did approximate kinetic effects by considering the non-equilibrium pathway for decomposition, but this analysis is not amenable to scaling to high-throughput analysis.

4.7 Conclusion

In this work, we analyzed the thermal stabilities of delithiated MPO$_4$ ($M = Fe, Mn, Co, Ni$) by constructing the oxygen grand potential phase diagrams of the Li-M-P-O systems using first-principles calculations. Our observations indicate, in agreement with recent experiment findings,[28, 29] that MnPO$_4$ reduces with substantial oxygen release at a much lower temperature than FePO$_4$. Hence, the Mn system may trade off its somewhat higher energy density with considerably lower safety. The difference in relative stabilities of FePO$_4$ and MnPO$_4$ may be explained by the competing phases
present in the phase diagrams and relative stabilities of the $M^{2+}$ and $M^{3+}$ as explained by ligand field theory. Similarly, delithiated CoPO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$ are predicted to be extremely unstable, in agreement with the experimental findings of Bramnik et al. [31] and the preliminary findings of Wang et al. [32].
Chapter 5

Beyond DFT: Hybrid functional calculations of redox energies

5.1 Redox energies in DFT

Reduction and oxidation (redox) reactions are relevant in many technological applications and environmental processes, from electrochemical generation and storage systems such as fuel cells and rechargeable Li-ion batteries to corrosion processes. Owing to their importance, the development of first-principles techniques to study redox reactions has therefore been an area of considerable research interest.

In redox reactions, electrons are transferred from one species to another. Previous work has shown that the standard local density (LDA) and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) to density functional theory (DFT) lead to considerable errors in calculated redox energies. These errors can be attributed in part to the lack of self-interaction error cancellation when the redox electron is transferred between significantly different environments, such as between metallic Li and an ionic transition metal (TM) environment in the case of Li intercalation compounds. Zhou et al. demonstrated that treating the self-interaction error by means of the DFT+U method, where the $U$ parameter is determined by a linear response scheme, leads to predicted Li intercalation potentials for TM compounds that are in much better agreement with experiments. Wang et al. found that the DFT+U
method can similarly be applied to correct for self-interaction errors in the calculated reaction energies of TM oxides.

In this thesis, we revisited the calculation of Li intercalation potentials and formation energies of TM compounds, in the context of hybrid density functionals. The hybrid density functional modification of the DFT scheme has predominantly been used in molecular chemistry applications, but has more recently gained momentum in the solid state community, possibly due to the introduction of hybrids functionals that are not specifically tailored for molecular chemistry applications.

5.2 Hybrid functionals

The exact Hartree-Fock (HF) exchange energy cancels the self-interaction error in the electron energy by construction. It follows that the hybrid scheme of using a fraction of the HF exchange energy plus a fraction of a conventional semi-local functional cancels more of this error than using only the latter. Hybrid functionals, such as B3LYP, have also been found successful for calculations on simple TM oxides. The improvement of the self-interaction error is expected to reduce the unwanted electron self-repulsion and thus, at least partially, avoid the well-known problem of over-delocalized electrons in LDA or GGA. Zhou et al. argued that it was precisely such over-delocalization of d-orbital electrons on the TM ions that was responsible for the poor performance of conventional functionals for redox reactions, which prompted their use of DFT+U. This argument thus motivates us to investigate the performance of hybrid functionals in the same type of applications. Furthermore, hybrid functionals provide a more general treatment of the self-interaction error over all species, as opposed to the treatment of specific orbitals of specific species in typical DFT+U implementations. While the HSE06 functional used in this thesis do have a number of adjustable parameters, such as the screening parameter and the fraction of exact exchange (see the next few paragraphs), none of these parameters are typically determined on a chemistry-specific basis, i.e., a common set of parameters is used for all chemistries. This is unlike the case for DFT+U where a oxidation
state and local environment-dependent $U$ value has to be determined for each individual species.\cite{90} Hence, hybrid functionals could provide for a more straightforward, though more expensive, prediction of redox energies.

The HSE06 functional starts from the PBE0 functional,\cite{93} which is an implementation of the Becke three-parameter hybrid formula\cite{92, 98} that combines PBE exchange $E_{\text{PBE}}^x$ and correlation $E_{\text{PBE}}^c$ with HF exchange $E_{\text{HF}}^x$,

$$E_{\text{PBE0}}^{xc} = \frac{1}{4} E_{\text{HF}}^x + \frac{3}{4} E_{\text{PBE}}^x + E_{\text{PBE}}^c (5.1)$$

In HSE06, the exchange terms are divided into short-range (SR) and long-range (LR) parts, and to avoid the expensive calculation of long-range HF exchange, this term is replaced by long-range PBE exchange,

$$E_{\text{xHSE}}^{xc} = \frac{1}{4} E_{\text{xHSE,SR}}^{\text{HF}}(\mu) + \frac{3}{4} E_{\text{xHSE,SR}}^{\text{PBE}}(\mu) + E_{\text{xHSE,LR}}^{\text{PBE}}(\mu) + E_{\text{c}}^{\text{PBE}} (5.2)$$

where the screening parameter $\mu = 0.207$ Å$^{-1}$ was determined as a compromise between speed and accuracy from a test set of molecules and solids.\cite{3} The screening approach of HSE06 produces a hybrid functional that has a similar accuracy to PBE0, but is less computationally demanding.

### 5.3 Methods

#### 5.3.1 Intercalation potentials of electrode materials

The average intercalation potential, $\langle V \rangle$, when lithiating a material Li$_x$X from $x = x_1$ to $x_2$ vs. Li/Li$^+$ can be calculated using the following expression\cite{99}:

$$\langle V \rangle = - \frac{E(\text{Li}_{x_2}X) - E(\text{Li}_{x_1}X) - (x_2 - x_1) E(\text{Li})}{(x_2 - x_1)e},$$

where $E$ is the total energy as calculated using DFT, and $e$ the absolute value of the electron charge. To calculate average Li intercalation potentials, we considered
complete, topotactic delithiation. Experimental lithiated structures were taken from
the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD).\textsuperscript{52} and delithiated structures were
obtained by removing all Li atoms from the lithiated structures.

The intercalation materials studied were chosen to represent the major classes
of intercalation materials currently used or under consideration as positive electrode
materials in Li-ion batteries.

1. The traditional positive electrode materials are the LiMO\textsubscript{2} layered oxides (M=Co,
   Ni), which are favored for their high intercalation potentials and energy den-
sities. The LiMO\textsubscript{2} layered oxides are O3-type structures, where the oxygen
planes have an ABCABC stacking sequence.\textsuperscript{100} In these structures, Li in-
tercalates between layers of TM-centered oxygen octahedra. After complete
delithiation, the MO\textsubscript{2} layers are weakly bound by van der Waals forces.\textsuperscript{101}
The layered oxides have been extensively studied both experimentally\textsuperscript{102, 103}
and theoretically.\textsuperscript{99, 104, 105, 106}

2. Two Ti-containing materials were chosen to study materials having weakly local-
ized 3d electrons. Li\textsubscript{x}TiS\textsubscript{2} and Li\textsubscript{x}Ti\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} both display metallic conductivities;\textsuperscript{107, 108} consequently, the delocalized Ti d states should not require the use of a U
correction. The layered dichalcogenide, LiTiS\textsubscript{2} was once considered as a positive
electrode material, but its Li intercalation potential of 2.0 V was deemed too low
to achieve reasonable energy densities.\textsuperscript{107} Li\textsubscript{x}TiS\textsubscript{2} is an O1-type layered struc-
ture, where the sulfur planes have an ABAB stacking sequence. Spinel LiTi\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}
also has a low Li intercalation potential (1.3 V) but can be used as a negative
electrode in applications requiring excellent safety and power capability.\textsuperscript{108}
It is the only electrode material considered that does not undergo complete
topotactic delithiation. In its lithiated state, Li\textsubscript{2}Ti\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, the Li atoms reside on
the octahedral 16c sites of the Fd\textit{d}3\textit{m} (227) space-group, whereas in its delithi-
ated state, LiTi\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, the Li atoms reside on the tetrahedral 8a sites of the same
space group.\textsuperscript{109}

3. The spinel-like LiMn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} is popular for its high voltage (4.1 V) and reasonable
It is isostructural with the spinel mineral MgAl$_2$O$_4$ and presents a three-dimensional network of face-sharing oxygen tetrahedra and octahedra. The Mn atoms reside in MnO$_6$ octahedra. In the lithiated structure, the Mn ions are evenly distributed in nominal Mn$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{4+}$ states.

4. The olivine structures, LiMPO$_4$ (M=Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) studied in the preceding chapters were also studied in this work. The olivine structures comprise vertex-sharing MO$_6$ octahedra, and PO$_4$ tetrahedra that share one edge and all vertices with MO$_6$ octahedra. The olivines are differentiated from the previously mentioned oxides, which will be referred to as “simple” oxides, by the presence of PO$_4$ polyanions. It is believed that the TM ions hybridize less with the PO$_4$ groups than with oxygen atoms of simple oxides. The lack of hybridization should lead to a greater degree of localization of the 3$d$ electrons on the TM ions, and thereby increase the self-interaction error of LDA/GGA.

5.3.2 Oxide Formation Energies

Formation energies were calculated for 26 oxides for which experimental formation enthalpies are available. The choice of oxides follows closely that of Wang et al. The chosen oxides can be separated into two categories. The first category is comprised of oxides containing main group elements (Li, Na, Mg, Al, Ca) and elements with weakly localized 3$d$ electrons (Ti), while the second category consists of TMs with strongly localized 3$d$ electrons (V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu). Following the methodology of Wang et al., the formation energy per O$_2$ molecule $\Delta H_O$ for an oxide XO$_x$ was calculated using the following expression:

$$\Delta H_O = \frac{2}{x}[E(XO_x) - E(X)] - E(O_2),$$

(5.4)

where $E(X)$ is the energy of X in its elemental state, and $E(O_2)$ is the energy of an isolated O$_2$ molecule.
5.3.3 Computational Methods

Similar to the work in the preceding chapters, all spin-polarized total energy calculations and structure relaxations were performed with the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation package (VASP), using a 500 eV energy cut-off and appropriate \textit{k}-point meshes to obtain a convergence of better than 10 meV per formula unit. Structural relaxations were performed to a tolerance of $2 \times 10^{-4}$ eV/atom in the total energy, yielding average forces of 0.01 eV/Å. TM atoms were initialized in high spin and low spin states, as well as in ferromagnetic (FM) and anti-ferromagnetic (AFM) orderings when relevant. The configuration yielding the lowest energy was kept as the groundstate. In the case of Jahn-Teller active ions (Mn$^{3+}$, Ni$^{3+}$), Jahn-Teller distortions were allowed by explicitly breaking the symmetry of the cell. Primitive cells were used in all calculations except for LiNiO$_2$, where a cell of 6 formula units was used to allow Jahn-Teller distortions.

All GGA calculations were performed with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional.\cite{113} For GGA+\textit{U} calculations, the rotationally invariant,\cite{44} spherically averaged\cite{57} version of GGA+\textit{U} was used, where only a single effective interaction parameter, \textit{U}, is required to characterize the localization of the 3\textit{d} electrons. Table \ref{tab:Uvalues} lists the \textit{U} values used for the calculation of intercalation potentials. These values were obtained by averaging the \textit{U} values found in \cite{90} over the redox states found in the lithiated/delithiated structures.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Values of the \textit{U} parameters in eV.}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline
         & Olivine & Layered & Spinel \\
\hline
Mn       & 4.5     & -       & 4.8     \\
Fe       & 4.3     & -       & -       \\
Co       & 5.7     & 5.1     & -       \\
Ni       & 6.1     & 6.4     & -       \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
## 5.4 Comparison of calculated intercalation potentials

Lithium intercalation potentials are obtained using Equation 5.3. Table 5.2 lists the Li intercalation potentials obtained with GGA, GGA+U and HSE06, while Figure 5-1 shows the intercalation potential errors with respect to experiment.

For the layered oxides, HSE06 yields potentials that are significantly overestimated. As previously published, GGA severely underestimates the potentials by 0.7 V on average, while GGA+U with a linear response $U$ yields values close to experiment.

The Ti-containing structures (LiTiS$_2$, Li$_2$Ti$_2$O$_4$) do not require the use of a $U$ correction because of the absence of localized 3$d$ electrons in Ti. This is confirmed by the agreement with experiment of the GGA Li intercalation potentials for these structures. The agreement with experiment of the GGA intercalation potentials is significantly better for the Ti-containing compounds than for any of the other TM-containing compounds. The potentials obtained with HSE06 are greater than those obtained with GGA by 0.15 V and are in better agreement with experiment.

---

### Table 5.2: Average Li intercalation potentials vs. Li/Li$^+$ in volts, obtained using GGA, GGA+U, and HSE06 for complete delithiation compared to experiment. Parentheses indicate the use of the GGA value ($U = 0$). Experimental potentials have an estimated error of ±0.1 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>GGA</th>
<th>GGA+U</th>
<th>HSE06</th>
<th>Expt.</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiCoO$_2$</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiNiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiTiS$_2$</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>(1.91)</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_2$Ti$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>(1.05)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiMn$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiMnPO$_4$</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO$_4$</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiCoPO$_4$</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiNiPO$_4$</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5-1: Difference between calculated and experimental Li intercalation potentials for GGA, GGA+U, and HSE06.
The Li intercalation potentials obtained for LiMn$_2$O$_4$ with HSE06 and GGA+$U$ are both nearly within experimental error. The HSE06 potential is greater than the one obtained with GGA+$U$ by 0.21 V. Once more, GGA underestimates the potential by approximately 0.7 V.

The olivines (LiMPO$_4$, M=Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) yield potentials that are underestimated on average by 1 V with GGA. The Mn, Fe, and Co olivines are the only materials where the Li intercalation potentials obtained with HSE06 are smaller than those obtained with GGA+$U$ and by experiment. The smaller Li intercalation potentials obtained with HSE06 are correlated with the presence of the PO$_4$ polyanion. As opposed to the other olivines, the Li intercalation potential obtained for LiNiPO$_4$ with HSE06 is greater than those obtained with GGA+$U$ and by experiment. NiPO$_4$ is also the only olivine structure where the Ni magnetic moments obtained with HSE06 are greater than those obtained with GGA+$U$.

5.5 Comparison of oxide formation energies

The O$_2$ molecule has an experimental binding energy of $-5.12$ eV.\cite{71} GGA is known to overbind for O$_2$, and a value of $-6.04$ eV was obtained with GGA in good agreement with previous calculations.\cite{59, 114} HSE06 yields a binding energy of $-5.16$ eV, in much better agreement with experiment than GGA. According to the methodology of Ref. \cite{59}, one would therefore not expect HSE06 to introduce significant O$_2$ binding errors in the calculation of formation energies for non-transition metal oxides, where there is little correlation error on the metal oxidation.

Figure 5-2 shows the formation energy of various oxides when starting from their elemental form as calculated with GGA and HSE06, compared to experiment.\cite{71, 60} The GGA formation energies are consistent with those of Ref. \cite{59}.

The GGA formation energy of the oxides of metals without localized 3$d$ electrons (Li, Na, Mg, Al, Ca, Ti) is underestimated by a nearly constant error of $1.18$ eV compared to experiment (the exclusion of Ti-containing oxides yields an average error of $1.32$ eV, in agreement with Ref. \cite{59}). The TM oxides containing atoms with
localized 3d electrons (V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu) have much more scatter in their calculated GGA formation energies and have an average error of 1.32 eV ($\sigma=0.20$ eV).

The HSE06 non-transition metal oxide formation energies are very similar to those obtained with GGA, although the average error is slightly less at 0.85 eV. The TM oxides with HSE06 yield formation energies that have less scatter than with GGA and have an average error of $-0.35$ eV ($\sigma=0.15$ eV). The agreement with experiment of formation energies for TM oxides is therefore better with HSE06 than with GGA.
5.6 Relationship between charge transfer and voltage in electrode materials

HSE06 is much more successful than GGA in predicting intercalation potentials in the presence of localized electrons with a mean absolute error of 0.2 V compared to 0.9 V with GGA. In the absence of localized electrons, such as in Ti-oxides or sulfides, HSE06 and GGA yield similar intercalation potentials. GGA+\(U\) with a linear response \(U\) successfully reproduces experimental intercalation potentials with a mean absolute error of 0.1 V for TM-containing electrode materials with localized \(d\) electrons. The average error is therefore smaller for GGA+\(U\) than HSE06 though it is not clear whether this difference in accuracy between GGA+\(U\) and HSE06 is statistically significant given our small dataset. In GGA+\(U\), a correction to the self-interaction energy is only applied to the projected \(d\) states of the TM atoms. However, in HSE06 the use of HF exchange leads to corrections of self-interaction errors directly on all occupied eigenstates. The HSE06 functional should correct self-interaction errors in oxygen atoms as well, and the bonding environment of the oxygen atoms may therefore have a greater impact on redox energies in HSE06 than in GGA+\(U\). This indeed appears to be the case as HSE06 yields higher intercalation potentials than GGA+\(U\) for simple oxides (LiCoO\(_2\), LiNiO\(_2\), LiMn\(_2\)O\(_4\)), but lower intercalation potentials for the polyanion-containing olivines (LiMPO\(_4\), M=Mn, Fe, Co).

Figure 5-3 shows the difference in charge densities obtained with HSE06 and GGA+\(U\) \((\rho^{\text{HSE06}} - \rho^{\text{GGA+U}})\) for the layered oxides at an isosurface level of \(\pm 0.02\) electron/\(\text{Å}^3\). GGA+\(U\) calculations were performed with HSE06 geometries in order to obtain comparable charge densities. The yellow and blue isosurfaces indicate where HSE06 respectively locates more or less charge than GGA+\(U\). It is clear that GGA+\(U\) locates more charge on the TM atom, while HSE06 locates more charge on the oxygen atom in both the lithiated and delithiated layered oxides. HSE06 therefore tolerates hybridization between the oxygen \(p\) and TM \(d\) orbitals more than GGA+\(U\), which forces localization in the TM \(d\) orbitals. The effect appears to be more pronounced for
Figure 5-3: Isosurface of the difference between charge densities obtained with HSE06 and GGA+U ($\rho_{\text{HSE06}} - \rho_{\text{GGA+U}}$). The yellow and blue represent the positive and negative 0.02 electron/Å$^3$ isosurfaces respectively. View along [011], rendered using VESTA.\[115]
Figure 5-4: Isosurface of the difference between charge densities obtained with HSE06 and GGA+U ($\rho_{HSE06} - \rho_{GGA+U}$). The yellow and blue represent the positive and negative 0.02 electron/Å$^3$ isosurfaces respectively.

Li$_x$CoO$_2$ than for Li$_x$NiO$_2$ as the isosurface volumes of Li$_x$CoO$_2$ are larger, which may be a reflection of more delocalized states and of the metallic nature of Li$_x$CoO$_2$. Figures 5-1 and 5-3 respectively show a higher intercalation potential and greater localization of charge on the oxygen atoms with HSE06 for Li$_x$CoO$_2$ than for Li$_x$NiO$_2$. Indeed, the intercalation potential obtained with HSE06 for LiCoO$_2$ is 0.7 V greater than with GGA+U, compared to 0.22 V for LiNiO$_2$. The Li intercalation potential depends on the energy difference between the lithiated and delithiated structures. One may speculate that the correction of self-interaction errors with HSE06 in the simple oxides stabilizes charge localization on the oxygen, thereby stabilizing the lithiated state and consequently raising the intercalation potential.

As opposed to the simple oxides, for the LiMPO$_4$ (M=Mn, Fe, Co) olivines, HSE06 underestimates the Li intercalation potentials compared to GGA+U. Figure 5-4 shows the difference in charge densities obtained with HSE06 and GGA+U ($\rho_{HSE06} - \rho_{GGA+U}$).
$\rho^{\text{GGA+U}}$ for MPO$_4$ and LiMPO$_4$ (M=Fe, Ni) at an isosurface of ±0.02 electron/Å$^3$. Figure 5-4(a) shows that in FePO$_4$, GGA+U localizes slightly more charge on the Fe and P ions than HSE06, and less around the oxygen atom along the Fe-O and P-O bonds. Figure 5-4(c) shows that in LiFePO$_4$ GGA+U once again localizes more charge on the Fe ion than HSE06. Comparison of Figures 5-4(a) and (c) shows that differences in charge densities between HSE06 and GGA+U in the PO$_4$ polyanion are practically unchanged upon lithiation from FePO$_4$ to LiFePO$_4$. The $(\rho^{\text{HSE06}} - \rho^{\text{GGA+U}})$ charge density differences obtained for the simple oxides in Figure 5-3 are greater than the charge density differences obtained for Li$_x$FePO$_4$ in Figures 5-4(a) and (c). Indeed, the greater charge localization on the oxygen obtained with HSE06 compared to GGA+U is more pronounced in the simple oxides than in the olivines. This is likely the cause of the lower HSE06 intercalation potentials for the olivines compared to GGA+U and the higher HSE06 intercalation potentials for the simple oxides compared to GGA+U. The strong covalent bonding in the PO$_4$ group leads to less M-O hybridization. The decreased M-O hybridization in the olivines leads to less charge transfer to the oxygen upon lithiation than in the simple oxides. Hence, the correction of the self-interaction errors on oxygen orbitals by HSE06 may therefore have less of an impact in the olivines, and stabilization of the lithiated state by HSE06 may therefore not be as pronounced with the olivines than with the simple oxides, leading to lower intercalation potentials compared to GGA+U.

The Li intercalation potential obtained for LiNiPO$_4$ is higher with HSE06 than with GGA+U. Li$_x$NiPO$_4$ therefore behaves differently than the other olivines, which yield higher intercalation potentials with GGA+U. Figures 5-4(b) and (d) show the difference in charge densities obtained with HSE06 and GGA+U for NiPO$_4$ and LiNiPO$_4$. Figures 5-4(c) and (d) are very similar, showing LiFePO$_4$ and LiNiPO$_4$ have very similar differences in charge densities. However, a comparison of Figures 5-4(a) and (b) shows a marked contrast between the differences in charge densities for FePO$_4$ and NiPO$_4$. Figure 5-4(b) shows HSE06 and GGA+U yield electronic structures for NiPO$_4$ that are much more different than for the other olivines.

Charge transfer in electrode materials can be studied by subtracting the charge
Figure 5-5: Isosurfaces of the change in charge density upon lithiation of NiPO$_4$ to LiNiPO$_4$ ($\rho_{\text{LiNiPO}_4} - \rho_{\text{NiPO}_4}$) with (a) GGA+$U$ and (b) HSE06. The yellow and blue represent the positive and negative 0.054 electron/Å$^3$ isosurfaces respectively. Only the NiO$_{6}$ octahedra is shown as no difference was visible on the P atom at the chosen isosurface value.
Figure 5-6: Summed projected DOS of atoms having parallel magnetic moments in AFM LiNiPO$_4$ calculated using (a) GGA+U and (b) HSE06.

densities of lithiated and delithiated structures.[99] This was done for LiNiPO$_4$/NiPO$_4$ with HSE06 and GGA+U. Figure 5-5 shows the $\pm 0.054$ electron/Å$^3$ isosurfaces for the charge density differences: ($\rho_{\text{LiNiPO}_4}^{\text{HSE06}} - \rho_{\text{NiPO}_4}^{\text{HSE06}}$), and ($\rho_{\text{LiNiPO}_4}^{\text{GGA+U}} - \rho_{\text{NiPO}_4}^{\text{GGA+U}}$). Figure 5-5(a) shows there is no charge transfer occurring on the Ni ion with GGA+U upon lithiation of NiPO$_4$ at this isosurface level. The absence of charge transfer on the Ni atom indicates the Ni atom is in the same valence state in both NiPO$_4$ and LiNiPO$_4$ with GGA+U. Based on the magnetic moment of the Ni ion, it appears Ni is found in a Ni$^{2+}$ state in both NiPO$_4$ and LiNiPO$_4$ with GGA+U. Figure 5-5(b) shows the Ni ion gains charge upon lithiation with HSE06, furthermore the positive isosurface shape is characteristic of a $t_{2g}$ orbital, which is consistent with a Ni$^{3+}$ to Ni$^{2+}$ reduction with both ions in a high spin state.

Based on the observed charge transfer upon Li insertion, we can argue that in GGA+U, the relative position of the Ni and oxygen electronic levels near the Fermi
level in Li$_x$NiPO$_4$ is different than in HSE06, we can further confirm this by examining the projected DOS. Figure 5-6 shows the summed projected DOS of the atoms in LiNiPO$_4$ having parallel magnetic moments. Because the ground state magnetic ordering of LiNiPO$_4$ is AFM, excluding the contributions to the projected DOS of the atoms having antiparallel magnetic moments allows the identification of the spin features in the DOS. Figure 5-6 shows the oxygen levels are higher in energy than the Ni levels with GGA+$U$, while oxygen and Ni levels are found at similar energies and have greater hybridization with HSE06. Observations of a similar nature have previously been reported for NiO. Indeed, the relative position of Ni and oxygen levels in NiO have been investigated both experimentally[117, 118] and theoretically.[119]

When a Ni$^{2+}$ is replaced by a Li$^+$ in a NiO crystal, the resulting hole is seen to reside on the oxygen. The removal of Li from LiNiPO$_4$ can be seen as the addition of a hole. GGA+$U$ places the hole on the oxygen, while HSE06 distributes the hole over both Ni and O. The presence of a PO$_4$ polyanion makes Li$_x$NiPO$_4$ significantly different from NiO, and it is not obvious which result more accurately represents reality. Agreement with the experimental Li intercalation potential may not be the best metric since the intercalation potential of Li$_x$NiPO$_4$ is very high and above the potential of standard electrolytes. Furthermore, only a single account of electrochemical cycling of LiNiPO$_4$ was found in the literature and the intercalation potential was determined from only one cycle.[112] Assuming HSE06 yields a more accurate description of the physics occurring in Li$_x$NiPO$_4$, one may speculate GGA+$U$ is in fact underestimating the Li intercalation potential of Li$_x$NiPO$_4$.

5.7 Oxides

The formation of oxides requires the transfer of electrons between significantly different environments. Wang et al.[59] have shown that oxide formation energies obtained with GGA have errors stemming from two main causes. The first is the overbinding of the O$_2$ molecule. The second is the lack of correction for self-interaction errors found in correlated states such as TM 3d orbitals.
The overbinding of the O$_2$ molecule in GGA can be addressed by artificially using a corrected value for the O$_2$ energy. Highly correlated electrons can be addressed by using GGA+$U$ in the oxides. However, GGA+$U$ with the same $U$ cannot be used for TMs in their elemental forms as correct metallic states will not be obtained. GGA+$U$ can therefore only be used for reaction energies involving solely oxides.

HSE06 yields an accurate bonding energy for the O$_2$ molecule, and largely corrects self-interaction errors in localized electronic states. One would therefore expect HSE06 to give significantly more accurate oxide formation energies than GGA. Figure 5-2 shows that HSE06 does indeed produce slightly more accurate formation energies for the non-transition metal oxides, as well as more accurate and less scattered formation energies for the TM oxides. However, it is surprising to realize that after correcting the non-transition metal oxide formation energies for the binding error in the O$_2$ molecule, the GGA results are actually closer to experiment than HSE06. After correction, the average errors compared to experiment are 0.26 eV and 0.81 eV for GGA and HSE06 respectively. For the TM oxides, after correcting for the O$_2$ binding energy, HSE06 is only slightly more accurate than GGA.

5.8 Benefits and drawbacks of hybrids compared to GGA+$U$

The greatest drawback of hybrid methods compared to GGA+$U$ is computational cost. While the screening introduced in HSE06 makes it less costly than its unscreened limit, PBE0,[120] computational cost with HSE06 is still on average 40× greater than with GGA+$U$ for the calculations in this work. The intercalation potential of LiNiO$_2$ was calculated with PBE0 to verify that the screening introduced in HSE06 did not significantly affect the values of calculated intercalation potentials. The intercalation potential obtained with PBE0 was only 20 mV greater than the one obtained with HSE06. The PBE0 and HSE06 calculations were performed with identical $k$-point grids, and therefore, the PBE0 result is not as well converged as the HSE06 result.
Indeed, the screening of HSE06 facilitates the energy convergence with respect to $k$-points.\[94\]

The greatest advantage of HSE06 over GGA+$U$ is that it is a chemistry and structure agnostic functional. In GGA+$U$, the $U$ parameter is species- and environment-dependent. It is therefore up to the user to determine if the $U$ parameter is appropriate for a given species in a given structure and calculations with different $U$ parameters cannot directly be used together, giving GGA+$U$ calculations with a specific value of $U$ limited span in composition space. This is not the case with HSE06, as it does not contain any species- or environment-dependent parameters. However, HSE06 does contain fixed parameters that could be varied, namely the HF mixing ($\frac{1}{4}$) and screening ($\mu$) of Equation 5.2.

The choice between HSE06 and GGA+$U$ will therefore depend on the resources available. For simple intercalation potential calculations, if a $U$ parameter is available, HSE06 does not present major advantages that outweigh its greater cost. HSE06 and GGA+$U$ yielded significantly different physics only in the case of Li$_x$NiPO$_4$, which has an extremely high intercalation potential. However, HSE06 may be required to study specific questions that benefit from the correction of self-interaction errors for all occupied eigenstates as opposed to only the ones treated with a $U$ parameter. We will demonstrate one such example in Chapter 6, where the use of HSE06 is necessary to achieve the localization of polaronic charge carriers in LiMnPO$_4$. In addition, HSE06 is a consistent approach that can be used across all oxidation states, whereas the $U$ parameter in GGA+$U$ would change with valence state and would not be applicable to the metallic state.

5.9 Conclusion

Redox reactions are important in many technological and environmental processes. DFT calculations using GGA functionals fail to model these reactions when they involve localized electrons, such as the 3$d$ electrons of TMs. The applicability of screened hybrid methods to the study of redox reactions in the presence or absence
of localized electrons has been demonstrated. The screened hybrid functional HSE06 was compared to GGA and GGA+\(U\) for the study of electrode materials and oxide formation. The correction of self-interaction errors in HSE06 through the use of HF exchange leads to a more accurate treatment of the 3\(d\) electrons in TM atoms. HSE06 and GGA+\(U\) with a linear response \(U\) yield similar accuracies for Li intercalation potentials. However, HSE06 does not require any adjustable parameters and is applicable irrespective of the type of atoms present in the structures of interest.

The prediction of Li intercalation potentials with HSE06 is sensitive to the bonding environment of the oxygen, in a way not found in GGA+\(U\). The correction of self-interaction errors for all eigenstates with HSE06 appears to lead to greater charge localization on the oxygen orbitals in the simple oxides. The correction of self-interaction errors stemming from the oxygen atoms may stabilize charge transfer to the oxygen and be the cause of the higher intercalation potentials obtained with HSE06 for the simple oxides. The presence of PO\(_4\) polyanions appear to mitigate this effect, leading to lower intercalation potentials with HSE06.

HSE06 yields more accurate oxide formation energies than uncorrected GGA. GGA+\(U\) cannot be used for the calculation of oxide formation energies involving TMs because the same \(U\) cannot be used to properly model the metallic states of TMs in their elemental form.

The computational cost is greater for HSE06 than for GGA or GGA+\(U\). For the study of redox reactions involving localized electrons, the choice between HSE06 and GGA+\(U\) will therefore hinge on the availability of an appropriate \(U\), whether metallic states need to be modeled, and the available computational resources.
Chapter 6

Polaron migration and phase separation in LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$ using hybrid functionals

6.1 Polaronic conductivity in the LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$

A slow moving electron or hole in a dielectric crystal induces a local lattice distortion, which acts as a potential well that causes the charge carrier to become self-trapped. The quasiparticle formed by the charge carrier and its self-induced distortion is called a small polaron if the range of the lattice distortion is of the order of the lattice constant. Previous theoretical work by Maxisch et al. and various experimental works have provided evidence of a small polaron diffusion mechanism of electronic conduction in LiFePO$_4$. Electronic conduction in the structurally similar LiMnPO$_4$ is likely to be via a similar mechanism. Indeed, Yamada et al. postulated that a large polaron effective mass in the Mn olivine due to the Jahn-Teller active Mn$^{3+}$ ion is the likely explanation for the observed low electronic conductivities. Yamada et al. also suggested large local lattice deformation due to Mn$^{3+}$ during phase transformation to be a further factor limiting the intrin-
sic kinetics in LiMnPO$_4$. Kang et al.’s attempts to optimize LiMnPO$_4$\cite{76} using a proven off-stoichiometric optimization approach for LiFePO$_4$\cite{75} have also met with limited success, suggesting that there are other intrinsic kinetic limitations compared to LiFePO$_4$.

In this thesis, we investigated the polaron migration and phase separation in LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$ using hybrid density functional theory based on the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE06) functional.\cite{3, 4, 94} In Chapter 5 we have demonstrated the effectiveness of hybrid functionals, and in particular the HSE06 functional, as an alternative approach to dealing with the over-delocalization of $d$-orbitals in transition metal ions by conventional semi-local functionals, albeit at a significantly higher computational cost than GGA+$U$. In recent years, hybrid calculations have seen greater use in solid-state applications, such as the study of redox potentials\cite{5} and polarons in doped BaBiO$_3$\cite{126} and cuprates.\cite{127} The advantage of hybrid functionals over GGA+$U$ is the lack of a species-specific $U$ parameter and perhaps more importantly, a more universal treatment of the self-interaction error over all species and occupied states rather than specific atomic orbital projections on specific ions.

### 6.2 Methods

#### 6.2.1 Small polaron migration

![Diagram of polaron migration](image)

Figure 6-1: Single layer viewed in projection along the [100] direction showing polaron hops considered. The lithium atom marked with the X is the atom removed when calculating polaron barriers in the presence of vacancies.
We adopted the same methodology used by Maxisch et al.\cite{122} for their GGA+\(U\) study of polarons in the Fe olivine as well as Iordanova et al.\cite{128,129} for their study of polarons in oxides. We will briefly summarize the methodology here, and interested readers are referred to that work for more details.

The olivine LiMPO\(_4\) compounds have an orthorhombic \(Pnma\) space group (Fig. 1-2) where the transition metal (M) ions are sixfold coordinated by oxygen ions forming layers of edge-sharing octahedra. Because the layers are separated by PO\(_4\) tetrahedra, we can assume that electron transfer is confined to a single layer, and no charge transfer occurs between layers (hop 1 in Fig. 6-1). To fulfill the requirements of spin conservation and the Frank-Condon principle, we calculated the polaron migration barriers using an A-type anti-ferromagnetic structure.\cite{130} A \(1 \times 2 \times 2\) supercell containing 16 formula units was used to minimize the interaction between periodic images, while keeping computational costs at a reasonable level.

In LiMPO\(_4\), polaronic charge carriers are holes on M\(^{3+}\) sites whereas in MPO\(_4\), the charge carriers are electrons on M\(^{2+}\) sites. A hole (electron) polaron was formed on one of the transition metal ions by removing (adding) an electron to the fully relaxed LiMPO\(_4\) (MPO\(_4\)) supercell. Overall charge neutrality was preserved via a compensating background charge. If \(\{q_i\}\) and \(\{q_f\}\) denote the initial and final ion positions respectively, the migration of the polaron can then be described by the transfer of the lattice distortion over a one-dimensional Born-Oppenheimer surface, with an energy maximum at a configuration between \(\{q_i\}\) and \(\{q_f\}\). To determine this maximum, we computed the energies for a set of cell configurations \(\{q_x\}\) linearly interpolated between \(\{q_i\}\) and \(\{q_f\}\), i.e., \(\{q_x\} = (1-x)\{q_i\} + x\{q_f\}\) where \(0 < x < 1\).

During the charging and discharging of a battery, lithium or vacancies are injected in the pristine olivine structure respectively. To study polaron migration in the presence of lithium and vacancies, we introduced a single lithium or vacancy into the supercell and calculated the barrier for the polaron to migrate from a M site nearest to the lithium ion/vacancy to a M site further away within the same layer (hop 2 in Fig. 6-1).
6.2.2 Phase separation behavior

To study the phase separation behavior of the Mn and Fe olivines, we calculated the formation energies $\Delta E(x)$ of Li$_x$MPO$_4$ at $x = 0.25, 0.5, 0.75$, which is given by the following equation:

$$\Delta E(x) = E(Li_xMPO_4) - (1 - x) \times E(MPO_4) - x \times E(LiMPO_4) \quad (6.1)$$

For the formation energy calculations, only a single unit cell of LiMPO$_4$ was used, and all symmetrically distinct charge ordering configurations at each concentration were calculated. The magnetic moments were initialized in the ground state antiferromagnetic configuration, and the net difference in the number of spin-up and spin-down electrons was fixed at the value expected from the number of $M^{2+}$ and $M^{3+}$ ions present in the structure. For example, for Li$_{0.25}$FePO$_4$, one of the four Fe ions in the unit cell is a Fe$^{2+}$, and the remaining Fe ions are Fe$^{3+}$, resulting in an expected +1 net difference in the number of spin-up and spin-down electrons in the unit cell.

6.2.3 Computational methodology

With the exception of the k-point grid for the polaron supercell calculations, all calculations in this work was performed using the same Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) and parameters (energy cutoff, etc.). For the polaron supercell calculations, a minimal $\Gamma$-centered $1 \times 1 \times 1$ k-point grid was used to keep computational cost at a reasonable level. No k-point convergence study was done as any increase in the k-point grid size rendered the computation far too expensive. Nonetheless, given the size of the supercell, we would expect the calculations to be reasonably converged.

The single unit cell Li$_x$MPO$_4$ formation energies were calculated using a larger k-point grid chosen such that total energies were converged to within 10 meV/formula unit.
Table 6.1: Average M-O bond lengths of polaron and non-polaron sites in the Mn and Fe olivines in angstroms. Ranges are shown in brackets for the polaron sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average M-O bond length in LiMPO$_4$ (Å)</th>
<th>Average M-O bond length in MPO$_4$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hole polaron site</td>
<td>electron polaron site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>2.07 (1.92-2.28)</td>
<td>2.18 (2.02-2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.06 (1.99-2.13)</td>
<td>2.13 (1.97-2.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>non-polaron site</td>
<td>non-polaron site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3 Polaron bond lengths and electronic structure

Table 6.1 summarizes the average M-O bond lengths for the polaron and non-polaron sites in the supercell structures. Though the average polarization induced by polaron formation appear to be similar for the Mn and Fe systems, the actual lattice distortions are very different, as evidenced by the much wider range of bond distances for both the hole and electron Mn polarons. This observation may be attributed to the fact that Mn$^{3+}$ is a Jahn-Teller active ion for which orbital degeneracy is usually broken by a distortion of the MO$_6$ octahedron.\[131\]

Figure 6-2a-d shows the densities of states (DOSs) stacked area plots for the LiMPO$_4$ structures where we attempted to localize a single hole polaron using HSE06 and GGA+$U$. To obtain a more accurate DOS, a non-self-consistent run using a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ Monkhorst-Pack $k$-point grid on the structure optimized using the default single $\Gamma$ point was performed.

For LiFePO$_4$, clear evidence of a localized polaron can be seen in the GGA+$U$ and HSE06 DOSs. Fe$^{2+}$ has a high-spin $t_{2g}^3(\uparrow)t_{2g}^1(\downarrow)e_g^2(\uparrow)$ electronic configuration. Removal of an electron to form a hole polaron should result in a spin-down state being pushed above the Fermi level, which can be seen in Figures 6-2(c) and 6-2(d). We also note that the polaron states and the states near the Fermi level have predominantly $d$ character in the Fe olivine.

For LiMnPO$_4$, we were unable to localize a hole polaron using GGA+$U$. The electronic structure of Mn$^{2+}$ is $t_{2g}^3(\uparrow)e_{g}^2(\uparrow)$. Removal of an electron to form a hole polaron should result in a spin-up state being pushed above the Fermi level. No such state was observed in the GGA+$U$ DOS (Figure 6-2(a)), while clear evidence of a localized hole polaron in LiMnPO$_4$ was seen in the HSE06 DOS (Figure 6-2(b)).
Similar observations were made for electron polaron localization in FePO$_4$ and MnPO$_4$ based on the DOSs (Figure 6-2e-h).

The reason for this failure of GGA+U is apparent when we consider the HSE06 orbital-projected DOSs, which clearly shows a significant contribution from the oxygen $p$ orbitals in the polaron states and the states near the Fermi level. This observation points to an inherent difference between the electronic structures of LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$; the transition metal is much more strongly hybridized with the nearest neighbor oxygen atoms in the Mn olivine compared to the Fe olivine. Indeed, the hole polaron charge densities clearly showed a greater localization of charge on the Fe ion in LiFePO$_4$, while the polaron charge carrier appeared to have localized in Mn-$d$-$O$-$p$ hybrid orbitals in LiMnPO$_4$ (see Figure 6-3). In their investigation of polaronic hole trapping in doped BaBiO$_3$, Franchini et al.\cite{126} found that they were unable to stabilize a bipolaron using a one-center LDA+U treatment because the Bi $s$ orbitals were too delocalized. In the case of the Mn olivine, we believe that the reason for the failure of GGA+U is different: the relevant localized orbitals in which to apply self-interaction correction are not the onsite atomic transition metal $d$ orbitals, but rather the hybridized molecular orbitals formed by specific transition metal $d$ orbitals and oxygen $p$ orbitals. To our knowledge, no existing DFT code provides a functionality to apply Hubbard $U$ corrections to non-atomic orbitals. A recent work by Ylvisaker et al. applied a novel tight-binding Hamiltonian approach to apply $U$ corrections to molecular oxygen $\pi^*$ orbitals in RbO$_2$\cite{132}, but the greater complexity of the olivine structure makes developing a similar model difficult. In this work, we chose to avoid the issue of applying a Hubbard $U$ on hybridized orbitals by using hybrid functionals.

## 6.4 Polaron migration barriers

Figure 6-4 shows the calculated LiMPO$_4$ free hole and MPO$_4$ free electron polaron migration barriers. For the Fe olivine system, we performed both HSE06 and GGA+U calculations to compare the differences in the predictions between the two treatments.
Figure 6-2: DOS stacked area plots for LiMPO₄ and MPO₄ olivines containing a single hole and electron polaron respectively. The height of each colored area shows the contribution of each orbital type at each energy level.
Figure 6-3: Isosurface of LiMPO$_4$ hole polaron differential charge density ($\rho_{\text{polaron cell}} - \rho_{\text{neutral cell}}$) at a 0.005 electron Å$^{-3}$ level calculated in HSE06.
of the polaron problem. Only HSE06 results are presented for the Mn system as we were unable to localize polarons using GGA+\(U\) with the self-consistently determined \(U\).

For LiFePO\(_4\) and FePO\(_4\), the HSE06 polaron migration barriers were smaller than the GGA+\(U\) ones. As highlighted in previous work,[5] we found that HSE06 in general tends to result in a smaller amount of charge localization as compared to GGA+\(U\). Hence, it is likely that the polaron migration is artificially aided by some residual itinerant character of the charge carriers. The GGA+\(U\) migration barriers are in good agreement with the values previously calculated by Maxisch \textit{et al.}[122]

Comparing the Mn versus Fe HSE06 barrier values, we see that the free polaron migration barriers in the Mn olivine system are significantly higher than in the Fe olivine. The free hole polaron migration barrier in LiMnPO\(_4\) is around 135 meV higher than that in LiFePO\(_4\), while the free electron polaron migration barrier in MnPO\(_4\) is around 72 meV higher. Such significantly higher polaron migration barriers would imply much lower electronic conductivities in the Mn olivine in both the charged and
We also investigated the polaron migration barriers in the presence of lithium ions (in MPO₄) or vacancies (in LiMPO₄) to simulate electronic conduction during the initial stages of charging or discharging. Figure 6-5 shows the calculated barriers for polaron migration from a site nearest to the lithium ion or vacancy to a site further away. As we are only interested in relative barriers, we made no corrections for the interactions between periodic images of the lithium ion or vacancy and charge carriers, as was done in Maxisch et al. work[122] (because the charges and structures are similar in all instances, the corrections would amount to approximately the same additive term).

We may observe that the bound polaron migration barriers are higher than the free polaron migration barriers. In particular, the electron polaron migration barrier in Li₁/₁₆MnPO₄ increases significantly, and both hole and electron migration barriers are around 100-120 meV higher in the Mn olivine than the Fe olivine. Hence, polarons have a tendency to become trapped by the presence of lithium ions and vacancies,
further reducing electronic conductivity.

In a recent work, Seo et al.\cite{Seo133} reported a GGA+\(U\) polaron migration barrier of more than 808 meV in Li\(_x\)MnPO\(_4\) calculated via a nudged elastic band method and noted this value to be “over 100 meV” higher than the barrier in Li\(_x\)FePO\(_4\) calculated by Maxisch et al.\cite{Maxisch122} However, the barrier calculated by Seo et al. is for an “undefined” combination of a lithium migration and a polaron migration process, and hence cannot be compared directly to either Maxisch et al.’s work or the barriers calculated in this work. Furthermore, Seo et al. used a supercell with an approximate 1/3 Li concentration. Polaron migration barriers under 1/3 Li concentration are likely to be different from the far more dilute 1/64 concentration investigated by Maxisch et al. and 1/16 concentration investigated in this work.

6.5 Li\(_x\)MPO\(_4\) formation energies

The structural evolution of an electrode material upon delithiation can be evaluated by computing the formation energies of states with lithium content intermediate between the lithiated and fully delithiated states. The formation energy of LixMPO\(_4\), \(\Delta E(x)\), is its energy minus the concentration weighted average of MPO\(_4\) and LiMPO\(_4\). A large positive \(\Delta E(x)\) indicates that no intermediate phases form and a two-phase reaction is likely, while a negative \(\Delta E(x)\) indicate the presence of ordered Li-vacancy solid solutions.

Figure 6-6 presents the formation energies of Li\(_x\)MPO\(_4\) calculated using different functionals. In agreement with the previous work of Zhou et al.,\cite{Zhou134} standard GGA led to qualitatively incorrect negative or near-zero formation energies for the intermediate phases in the Li\(_x\)MPO\(_4\) system. Both LiFePO\(_4\) and LiMnPO\(_4\) are well-known to undergo a two-phase reaction upon delithiation,\cite{9, 16} implying that the formation energy should be positive. GGA+\(U\) with the self-consistently determined \(U\) gives positive formation energies. Zhou et al. has conclusively shown that accounting for the correlation between the localized \(d\)-orbitals of the transition metal is necessary to obtain this phase separating behavior. We would like to note that the GGA+\(U\)
formation energy for Li$_{0.5}$FePO$_4$ we calculated ($\approx 13$ meV) is much lower than the value reported for $U = 4.5$ eV ($\approx 80$ meV) in ref [26], but is very close to the lowest formation energy for the same structure reported in a later work by the same author[135] for a set of 245 calculated structures used to fit a cluster expansion.[136]

The HSE06 formation energies for the Li$_x$MnPO$_4$ structures are higher than the GGA+$U$ values and predicts qualitatively correct phase separating behavior.

However, the results of the HSE06 Li$_x$FePO$_4$ formation energies are surprising. We would expect that a functional that is designed to explicitly treat the self-interaction error would result in at least qualitatively correct formation energies. As can be seen from Figure 6-6 the HSE06 formation energies for Li$_x$FePO$_4$ for $x = 0.25, 0.75$ are even more negative than the GGA formation energies. This is despite us having achieved the proper charge localization for these structures, i.e., the calculated magnetic moments of the Fe ions confirmed that Li$_{0.25}$FePO$_4$ contains one Fe$^{2+}$ and three Fe$^{3+}$ ions, while Li$_{0.75}$FePO$_4$ contains one Fe$^{3+}$ and three Fe$^{2+}$ ions (see Appendix A).

![Figure 6-6: Formation energies of Li$_x$MPO$_4$ using different functionals.](image-url)
6.6 Intrinsic kinetic differences between the Mn and Fe olivines

Our results show that there are intrinsic differences in the electronic structures and kinetics of LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$. The free hole and electron polaron migration barriers in the Mn olivine are predicted to be 133 meV and 63 meV higher than that in Fe olivine respectively. In the presence of lithium ions or vacancies, both the hole and electron polaron migration barriers are $\approx$ 100-120 meV higher in the Mn olivine relative to the Fe olivine. In terms of the formation energies of the partially lithiated LiMPO$_4$ structures, we found that the Mn and Fe systems had approximately the same formation energies in GGA+$U$, and that the HSE06 formation energies for the Mn olivine were similar to the GGA+$U$ values.

Using the calculated polaron migration barriers, we may make an approximation to the difference in electronic conductivities between the Mn and Fe olivines. Assuming the same attempt frequency and a simple Arrhenius like relationship, the free hole polaron migration is predicted to be about 177 times slower in LiMnPO$_4$ than in LiFePO$_4$ at room temperature, while the electron polaron migration is predicted to be about 11 times slower in MnPO$_4$ than in FePO$_4$. In the presence of Li ions or vacancies, both hole and electron migration are predicted to be around 77 times slower in the Mn olivine as compared to the Fe olivine. These predictions are in good agreement with the results of Yonemura et al.[12] who measured conductivities of $< 10^{-10}$ Scm$^{-1}$ for LiMnPO$_4$ compared to $10^{-8}$ Scm$^{-1}$ for LiFePO$_4$. It should be noted that there are some discrepancies in the literature. For instance, Delacourt et al.[25] found that LiMnPO$_4$ had a five orders of magnitude lower conductivity, which implies a factor of two higher activation energy, compared to LiFePO$_4$. Nonetheless, the qualitative assessment that the Mn olivine has a much lower electronic conductivity still stands.

There are several implications from the much lower conductivity for LiMnPO$_4$ relative to LiFePO$_4$. Firstly, size effects would be far more pronounced, and indeed Drezen et al.[137] found that a reduction in particle size from 270 nm to 140 nm sig-
significantly improved the rate capability of LiMnPO$_4$ as an electrode, and even better performance was subsequently achieved by Martha et al.[41] with carbon-coated 30-nm particles. It should be noted that carbon coating merely improves inter-particle conductivity and not intra-particle conductivity; hence a small particle size is still necessary to achieve low transport distances. If the requisite particle sizes to achieve a similar performance as LiFePO$_4$ are significantly smaller, the overall effective gravimetric and volumetric capacity of the cathode could be adversely affected, and the potential thermal stability issues in the charged state[28, 29, 2] could be further exacerbated.

The GGA+$U$ formation energies for states with intermediate lithium concentration in the Fe and Mn olivine are similar and consistent with the observed two-phase equilibria in both systems. The HSE06 formation energies were too unreliable for us to make any reasonable assessment. While we are unable to provide a quantitative discussion of the phase separation kinetics in the olivines, we note two observations from our work that may point to slower phase separation kinetics in LiMnPO$_4$. Firstly, lower electronic conductivities arising from higher polaron migration barriers in the Mn olivine may impede phase transformation because both Li and electrons must diffuse to the site of transformation for phase transformation to occur. Secondly, the greater lattice mismatch between the delithiated and lithiated phases of the Mn olivine suggests that nucleation barriers in the the Mn olivine are likely to be higher than in the Fe olivine due to higher coherency strain at the phase transformation interface.

6.7 Successes and limitations of HSE06

Beyond the insights into the differences between the Mn and Fe olivines, our investigations also highlighted the successes and limitations of the HSE06 hybrid density functional versus conventional DFT based on GGA+$U$. On one hand, the HSE06 functional was essential in achieving a proper localization of the polaron in the more strongly hybridized Mn olivine system where the GGA+$U$ was unable to achieve such
a localization. On the other, it failed to predicted even qualitatively correct formation energies for the Li$_x$FePO$_4$. Our results suggest that while the HSE06 functional provides a more universal treatment of self-interaction over all atomic species, its treatment of electron correlation in strongly localized transition metal states such as those in the Fe olivine is still deficient. This deficiency is likely to be present in all hybrid functionals derived from PBE0 with a 0.25 fraction of exact exchange.

Despite this noted failure and significantly higher computational costs, we believe that the more universal approach to treating self-interaction offered by hybrid functionals such as HSE06 is important in capturing the essential physics of systems with strongly hybridized localized states that are not captured in current formulations of DFT+ $U$. But our results also show that the hybrid functionals in their current state of development cannot be regarded as a panacea to self-interaction error correction, and in some systems, DFT+ $U$ provides a better qualitative description.

6.8 Conclusion

In this work, we studied polaron migration and phase separation in olivine LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$ using hybrid density functional theory based on the HSE06 functional. The barriers for free hole and electron polaron migration in the Mn olivine system are 133 meV and 63 meV higher than that in the Fe olivine system respectively, suggesting 177 times slower electronic conduction in LiMnPO$_4$ and 11 times slower electronic conduction in MnPO$_4$ relative to the Fe analogues. In the presence of lithium vacancies or ions, the barriers for both hole and electron polaron migration were found to be around 100-120 meV higher in the Mn olivine. The HSE06 functional, with its more universal treatment of self-interaction error, was found to be essential to the proper localization of a polaron in the Mn olivine, but predicted qualitatively incorrect phase separation behavior in the Li$_x$FePO$_4$ system.
Chapter 7

Approximating the electrochemical windows of room-temperature ionic liquids

7.1 Room-temperature ionic liquids as potential electrolytes

In recent years, there has been a steadily growing interest in using room-temperature ionic liquids (ILs) as electrolytes in electrochemical applications such as supercapacitors \cite{138, 139, 140} and rechargeable lithium batteries \cite{34, 35, 36, 37}. ILs have several advantages over traditional organic electrolytes such as ethylene carbonate (EC) or dimethylcarbonate (DMC). They generally exhibit low volatility, low flammability and high thermal stability, which provides significant safety advantages over flammable organic compounds. This is particularly important in the application of Li-batteries beyond small-scale portable electronics to large-scale applications such as hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) and electric vehicles. Many ILs also exhibit wide electrochemical windows of approximately 5-6 V or more\cite{38}, which are considerably larger than the 4.3-4.4 V electrochemical windows of current organic electrolytes in use. A more electrochemically stable electrolyte could unlock the potential of
high-voltage cathodes with higher power density. For example, LiNiPO$_4$, which is predicted to have a potential near 5 V$^{[23]}$, would have 50% higher energy density than the Fe-based LiFePO$_4$ system currently under development for HEVs.

In electrochemical applications, the stability of the IL electrolyte against reduction (cathodic limit) and oxidation (anodic limit) is a key property of interest. In certain applications (e.g., supercapacitors), it is the electrochemical window, i.e., the difference between anodic and cathodic limits, that is of interest. In others, the electrolyte’s stability relative to a particular electrode could be a limiting factor. For example, lithium at the graphitic node in rechargeable lithium batteries will reduce most organic solvents. Current organic electrolytes (e.g., EC/DMC with LiPF$_6$ added for Li$^+$ conductivity) work because of the formation of a passivating solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer which prevents further electrolyte decomposition.$^{[141]}$

Typically, the electrochemical window is determined experimentally by performing a linear sweep voltammetry using inert electrodes (e.g., Pt or Au) and measuring the cathodic and/or anodic currents, which are indicative of electrolyte reduction or oxidation. However, measured electrochemical windows depend heavily on the measurement conditions (e.g., type of electrode)$^{[142]}$ and also on the arbitrary current cut-off used to determine the onset of redox processes (typically between 0.1 and 1.0 mA cm$^{-2}$). Comparison of data from various experimental sources is made more difficult by the different references used, some of which may not be strictly electrochemically defined. In the case of ILs, this is further compounded by their sensitivity to water, air and other impurities.$^{[143, 144, 145]}$ For example, Randstrom et al.$^{[144]}$ have recently shown that while the innate cathodic stability of pure and dry ILs are generally set by the reduction potential of the cation, anion reduction$^{[146]}$ may occur at a higher potential than cation reduction in the presence of water and oxygen.

Koch et al.$^{[147]}$ demonstrated earlier that the experimentally measured electrochemical anodic stability of several ILs comprising 1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium cations paired with the PF$_6$, AsF$_6$, bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (TFSI) and tris(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)methide anions correlates strongly with the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) energies calculated using $ab$ initio methods. In addi-
tion, there is also a significant body of work in the application of computational chemistry techniques to study redox potentials of conventional organic electrolytes\cite{148, 149, 150} or the anions of lithium battery salts dissolved in these electrolytes.\cite{151, 152} In particular, Ue \textit{et al.} \cite{151} examined the anodic stability of several anions used in Li-salt additives for Li-battery electrolytes, and found that experimental oxidation potentials are highly correlated with the HOMO energies and the ionization potentials calculated using density functional theory (DFT) and molecular orbital theories.

We investigated the trends in gas-phase electron affinities (EAs) of IL cations and ionization energies (IEs) of IL anions using computational chemistry methods.\cite{6} The advent of efficient computational chemistry codes and inexpensive computing resources has made it possible to probe the large chemical space of IL ion structures in a systematic fashion to study how increasing “alkylation” and the substitution of electron-donating and electron-withdrawing functional groups affect the EA and IE of an ion. We will show that the qualitative trends obtained agree well with previous experimental and theoretical results, and suggest potential directions for IL design for electrochemical applications.

### 7.2 Systematic transversal of IL ion chemical space

Our investigative approach is to systematically traverse the IL ion chemical space to establish trends in the EAs and IEs. To this end, we have developed a simple substitution code to replace symmetrically-distinct terminal atoms in an IL ion structure (H for cations, and F for anions) with various functional groups (see Table 7.3) using optimized structure templates. This process can be repeated with new structures generated and in this manner, we can span the entire chemical space for a given set of basic ion structures and functional groups. In this work, we investigated how the calculated gas-phase EAs and IEs of common IL ions are affected by alkylation (the term “alkylation” is used loosely to refer to both alkyl group (C$_n$H$_{2n+1}$) substitutions on cations and fluoroalkyl group (C$_n$F$_{2n+1}$) substitutions on anions) and other functional group substitutions, as follows:
To systematically probe the chemical space of alkylated ion structures, we started with a basic ion structure (e.g., simple ammonium cation, NH$_4^+$). Based on the point group symmetry of the ion (T$_d$ in the case of NH$_4^+$), we substituted symmetrically distinct terminal atoms with methyl groups (trifluoromethyl, or CF$_3$ groups in the case of anions) to obtain a new set ion structures. Recursive substitutions result in increasing alkylation, allowing us to sample the complete space of alkylated ions. However, given that substitution tends to break symmetry, the number of structures rapidly become unmanageable. Hence, only the cation with the lowest computed EA or the anion with the highest computed IE was retained for the next stage of substitution, i.e., a “best-first” search algorithm with minimization of the EA or maximization of the IE as the objective function. While this could theoretically mean that we may miss possible cation structures with lower EAs or anion structures with higher IEs, in practice, there is usually more than one search path to the same structure and hence, we would expect most of the likely optimal structures to be found by our sampling method.

A similar approach was used to investigate the effect of the different functional groups such as amine (NH$_2$), hydroxyl (OH), cyanide (CN), fluorine (F) and carboxyl (COOH) on a cation structure. Non-alkyl group investigations have been limited to single substitutions, and we have not explored recursive substitutions to obtain more complex ion structures.

We have focused on six cation and three anion structures commonly found in ILs (see Tables 7.1 and 7.2), and a mix of seven functional groups with known electron-donating (ED) and/or electron-withdrawing (EW) effects (see Table 7.3). ED groups tend to stabilize cations and destabilize anions while EW groups tend to stabilize anions and destabilize cations. Functional groups donate and withdraw electron density from a system through either inductive or resonance effects. The inductive effect is related to the differences in electronegativity between elements, and transfer of electron density takes place primarily through $\sigma$ bonds. Resonance effects refer
to the movement of electron density through delocalization effects, e.g., interaction between lone pairs and the $\pi$-bonding system in aromatic compounds.

Table 7.1: Cations investigated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imidazolium</th>
<th>Pyridinium</th>
<th>Phosphonium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Imidazolium" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Pyridinium" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Phosphonium" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ammonium</th>
<th>Piperidinium</th>
<th>Pyrrolidinium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Ammonium" /></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Piperidinium" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Pyrrolidinium" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2: Anions investigated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phosphate</th>
<th>Borate</th>
<th>Sulfonilimide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Phosphate" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Borate" /></td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Sulfonilimide" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3 Proxy measure for electrochemical stability

In pure ILs, the cathodic and anodic limits are typically set by the reduction potential of the cation, and the oxidation potential of the anion.\[^{154}^{38}\] In principle, the reduction potential of the cation, $V_{\text{red}}$, and oxidation potential of the anion, $V_{\text{ox}}$, in the liquid can be calculated from the free energy changes of the redox reactions, $\Delta G_{\text{red}}$.  
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Table 7.3: Functional groups investigated and their known electron-donating (ED) and electron-withdrawing (EW) effects.\[153\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ED by Inductive Effect</th>
<th>EW through inductive effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ED through resonance effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EW by Inductive Effect</th>
<th>EW by Resonance Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

and $\Delta G_{ox}$, as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
 Cation^+(l) + e^- & \overset{\Delta G_{red}}{\longrightarrow} \text{Reduction Products} \quad (7.1) \\
 Anion^-(l) & \overset{\Delta G_{ox}}{\longrightarrow} \text{Oxidation Products} + e^- \quad (7.2) \\
 V_{\text{red}} &= -\frac{\Delta G_{\text{red}}}{e} \quad (7.3) \\
 V_{\text{ox}} &= \frac{\Delta G_{\text{ox}}}{e} \quad (7.4)
\end{align*}
\]

where all voltages are measured in volts and free energy changes are in electron-volts per ion. The resulting potential is with respect to the reference reaction that absorbs or donates the electron.

However, the redox decomposition products are in general not \textit{a priori} known. While an exhaustive computational search can be done to find the most likely redox products,\[155\] such an approach is too expensive to be scaled to modeling hundreds of possible ion structures. Koch \textit{et al.}\[147\] and Ue \textit{et al.}\[151\] demonstrated the correlation between experimentally measured oxidation potentials and calculated HOMO energies of anions. We therefore have reason to postulate that the reduction and oxidation potentials of a pure IL to be correlated to the electron affinity (EA) of its cation and ionization energy (IE) of its anion respectively. The lower (higher) the EA (IE) of a cation (anion), the greater its intrinsic stability against reduction.
The EA of a singly-charged cation, $C$, is defined as the energy released when an electron is added to a cation to form a neutral radical, i.e.,

$$C^+ + e^- \xrightleftharpoons[-EA]{EA} C^-$$

It is well-established that calculated lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energies by time-independent quantum chemical methods are generally poor indicators of experimental EAs due to the poor description of virtual orbitals.\[156]\ Hence, we have calculated the EA by explicitly taking the difference in energies between the cation and neutral radical. We calculated both the \textit{vertical} EA, which is the energy difference between the cation and radical at the cation geometry, and the \textit{adiabatic} EA, where both the cation and radical geometries were optimized.

Similarly, the ionization energy (IE) of a singly-charged anion, $A$, is defined as the energy needed to remove an electron from the anion to form a neutral radical, i.e.,

$$A^- \xrightarrow{IE} A^+ + e^-$$

The anion IEs were calculated in a similar fashion to the cation EAs.

The gas-phase EA or IE is an intrinsic property of the isolated ion. It should be noted that this does not take into account possible chemical reactivity with electrode materials, and hence, trends in the calculated EAs and IEs should be compared with redox potentials measured using inert electrodes only. Possible chemical reactions with electrodes found in real-world electrochemical systems may lower the accessible electrochemical window significantly. Furthermore, gas-phase calculations do not take into account the effect that the local environment in the electrolyte has on the redox stability of the ion in the liquid state. While methods such as continuum solvation models and cluster approaches\[157]\ can take into account local environment effects to varying degrees of approximation, these approaches either require additional input parameters such as the dielectric constant that are not available for all the ILs being explored, and/or are too computationally expensive for a high-throughput
All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 quantum chemistry package. Geometry optimizations were carried out at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level and were followed by single-point energy calculations at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) level. The hybrid B3LYP density functional based on Becke’s three-parameter exchange functional and the correlation functional of Lee, Yang and Parr was chosen as it has been shown to provide good accuracy for EAs and IEs at a reasonable computation cost. Curtiss et al. found that the average absolute deviation of EAs and IEs of the G2 molecule test set predicted by B3LYP to be around 0.131 eV and 0.177 eV respectively. While compound methods (e.g., G3 theory) and higher levels of ab initio molecular orbital theory (e.g., coupled cluster methods) could potentially yield more accurate predictions, such methods are far too computationally expensive to be used to investigate hundreds of ions. The accuracy in EAs and IEs predicted by B3LYP is sufficient for the establishment of qualitative trends, though we do not expect to achieve quantitatively accurate results given the various approximations inherent in our approach. The inclusion of diffuse functions in the basis sets was also deliberate to ensure an adequate description of the diffuse electron cloud of anions, as well as the radicals formed from cation reduction. Close-shell restricted wave function calculations were used in the treatment of the cations and anions, while unrestricted open-shell wavefunctions were used for the radicals which have singly occupied orbitals. Frequency analysis was performed to ensure that structures obtained were minimum energy structures rather than transition structures, and also to obtain the thermochemical corrections for the Gibbs Free Energy, which were then scaled using the factor of 0.9806 determined earlier by Scott et al. for the B3LYP/6-31G(d) model chemistry.
7.4 Geometry relaxation of cations and anions upon reduction and oxidation

For alkylated IL ion structures, we investigated approximately 170 unique cation and 30 unique anion structures.

![Graph](image.png)

Figure 7-1: Plot of the calculated vertical EAs against calculated adiabatic EAs for alkylated IL cations, and calculated vertical IEs against calculated adiabatic IEs for alkylated IL anions.

Figure 7-1 shows a composite plot of the calculated vertical EAs against adiabatic EAs of alkylated IL cations, and the calculated vertical IEs against adiabatic IEs of alkylated IL anions. Six cation structures and one anion structure for which an opti-
mized radical structure could not be found are excluded, but these do not materially affect the trends obtained. The relaxation from geometry optimization means that adiabatic EAs > vertical EAs and adiabatic IEs < vertical IEs. In general, we find that the vertical and adiabatic EAs are fairly similar for most of the cations. However, we may observe that imidazolium cations appear to undergo a greater degree of geometrical relaxation upon reduction than other ions, as well as some of the smaller phosphonium, pyrrolidinium and piperidinium cations. For the anions, most appear to undergo significant geometry relaxation upon oxidation.

The neutral geometry-optimized radical is typically a reaction intermediate, and not the final product of the redox reaction. For instance, Kroon et al.\cite{155} had investigated various reduction reaction paths for the 1,1-butylmethylpyrrolidinium and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium cations where radicals undergo decomposition into neutral fragments and smaller more stable radicals, react to form neutral molecules, or combine with one of the electrons of the $\pi$-bond to form a larger radical. They then verified that the predicted reduction products are indeed found experimentally. For the purposes of our work, though we would expect the adiabatic EA (IE) to be closer to the true reduction (oxidation) potential of the cation (anion), there is no way to a priori determine how far the neutral radical is to the final redox products energetically, which renders a comparison of the adiabatic EAs or IEs unreliable. Furthermore, there is also the practical issue that for some ions, a neutral geometry-optimized radical simply could not be obtained by adding or subtracting an electron to the ion. We have therefore chosen to look at the trends in the vertical EAs and IEs, which is indicative of how susceptible a cation (anion) is to accepting (donating) an electron, absent of geometrical relaxation effects.

### 7.5 Effect of alkylation on EAs of cations

In Figure 7-2, the calculated vertical EAs of alkylated cations are plotted against the molecular weight of the ion. Increasing molecular weight represents increasing alkylation. From the figure, we may make the following observations:
Figure 7-2: Effect of Increasing Alkylolation on Vertical EA of IL Cations. XMIM refers to the 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium cation, where X ranges from ethyl (2 carbon atoms) to octyl (8 carbon atoms).
• In general, the EAs of alkylated ammonium, pyrrolidinium and phosphonium cations are lower than those of imidazolium and pyridinium ions. This is consistent with experimental evidence that ILs containing these aliphatic cations are in general more stable against reduction as compared to ILs containing the aromatic cations.[164, 165] Our results also predict imidazolium-based cations to have better cathodic stability than pyridinium-base cations, which is consistent with a comparison of experimentally measured cathodic and anodic stabilities of several IL systems (corrected to a common ferrocene reference) compiled by Matsumoto.[166]

• Increasing alkylation leads to a lowering of the EA and greater stability against reduction. This is consistent with the fact that alkyl groups are electron-donating in nature and hence would tend to stabilize a cation against reduction. Fitchett et al.[143] had earlier investigated the effect of increasing alkyl side chain lengths on the electrochemical windows of 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(perfluoroalkylsulfonyl)imide ILs and observed a widening of the electrochemical window with increasing alkyl-chain length. More recently, Appetecchi et al.[167] has shown that the cathodic limit potential of N-alkyl-N-methylpyrrolidinium TFSI ILs steadily increases from -3.73 to -3.89 V in going from a propyl to a heptyl side chain. Though Appetecchi et al. had attributed the increase in cathodic potential to the increasing shielding effect of the positively charged nitrogen in the pyrrolidinium ring with the length of the side chain, our calculations show that there is an intrinsic stabilization effect arising from an increase in the strength of the electron-donating effect from longer alkyl chains.

• The initial effect of alkylation on the EA is greater than subsequent alkylation. This may be explained by the fact that the initial alkylation typically takes place at hydrogens connected to the aromatic ring structure (in the case of imidazolium or pyridinium based ions) or the atom of formal positive charge (e.g., N atom in ammonium-based cations), and hence result in a greater decrease in the EA. The effect is especially pronounced when comparing the EAs of the
XMIM cations against another imidazolium cation alkylated at the ring with the same molecular weight, i.e., the minimum EA structures at each molecular weight. Extending the alkyl side chain results in a very small decrease in the EA, while alkylation at the ring results in a much greater decrease.

Figure 7-3: Effect of alkylation on the EA of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium (EMIM) cation. The naming convention is based on the length of the alkyl chain attached to each ring atom, starting from the leftmost N atom and going around in a clockwise direction. Hence, Imi20100 ≡ EMI cation, Imi21100 ≡ 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium, etc. Imi30100 refers to the cation having an n-propyl group attached to the leftmost N atom, while Imi30100br refers to having an isopropyl group attached at the leftmost N atom.

We have also looked at how the EA of the 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium (EMIM) cation is modified by alkylation at the various positions (see Figure 7-3). Bonhote et al. [168] had earlier demonstrated that the 1-ethyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium TFSI IL exhibits a much wider electrochemical windows than EMIM TFSI. The results from our calculations show that the greatest decrease in the EA of the EMI cation does indeed come from alkylation at the C(2) position. Again, we observe that substitution at the ring positions (Imi21100, Imi20110 and Imi20101 in Figure 7-3) results in a
greater lowering of the EA than an extension of an existing alkyl chain (Imi20200 and Imi30100).

### 7.6 Effect of alkylation on IEs of anions

![Graph showing effect of alkylation on IEs of anions](image)

Figure 7-4: Effect of increasing alkylation on vertical IP of IL anions.

Figure 7-4 shows a similar plot of the calculated vertical IEs of alkylated anions against the molecular weight of the ion. From the figure, we may make the following observations:

- Our results predict the relative stabilities of the common IL anions, PF$_6$, BF$_4$ and bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (TFSI) to be PF$_6$ > BF$_4$ > TFSI. This is consistent with the *ab initio* calculations and experimental measurements by Ue *et al.*[151], though it is inconsistent with earlier results of Koch *et al.*[147].

- Unlike the monotonically decreasing trend of EAs with increasing alkylation for cations, no monotonic increasing trend in IE with increasing molecular weight
is observed in the case of anions for the range of molecular weights considered. This could be explained by the fact that current IL anions are already based on fluorinated organic and inorganic ions. Fluorine is the most electronegative element and hence, would already have a great inductive stabilization effect on the anions. Initial substitution of fluorine with CF₃ groups therefore do not result in significantly increased stabilization. However, subsequent alkylation does appear to yield some additional stability in some instances, e.g., in the case of BF₄ and fluorosulfonylimide anions, within the range of molecular weights explored. The decreasing trend of IE with increasing alkylation for PF₆ could possibly be due to steric hindrance effects.

7.7 Effect of functional group substitutions

Figure 7-5: Effect of functional group substitutions on EA of 1,2,3-trimethylimidazolium (TMIM). The change in EA from the TMI cation is plotted, i.e., difference in EA between the functionalized cation and the non-functionalized cation.
Figure 7-6: Effect of functional group substitutions on IE of PF$_5$CF$_3$. The change in IE from the PF$_5$CF$_3$ anion is plotted, i.e., difference in IE between the functionalized anion and the non-functionalized anion.

We have investigated the effect of functional group substitutions on a few cation and anion structures. Figures 7-5 and 7-6 are representative plots showing the effect of different functional group substitutions on the EA of the 1,2,3-trimethylimidazolium (TMIM) cation and the IE of the PF$_5$CF$_3$ anion respectively. We have selected these ions as there are several distinct kinds of sites where substitution can be performed, which would provide additional insight on how the position of substitution affects the type and strength of the effect observed. For the TMIM cation, three distinct sites can be identified, namely a hydrogen attached to the imidazolium ring ("Ring site"), a hydrogen on the methyl group attached to a nitrogen atom ("N site"), or a hydrogen on the methyl group attached to the carbon atom between the two nitrogen atoms ("C site"). For the PF$_5$CF$_3$ anion, there are two distinct sites, namely a F atom directly attached to the P atom ("P site"), or an F atom attached to the existing CF$_3$ group ("C site").

From the figures, we may make the following observations:
• As expected, electron-donating (ED) groups such as alkyl, NH$_2$ and OH groups generally stabilize the cation (leads to lower EAs) and destabilize the anion (lower IEs) while electron-withdrawing (EW) groups such as halogen, cyanide and trifluoromethane groups destabilizes the cation (increases EA) and stabilizes the anion (increases IE).

• Functional groups donate or withdraw electrons through inductive and resonance effects. For some functional groups, these two effects are in competition. For instance, NH$_2$ and OH groups withdraw electrons inductively but donate electrons through resonance. The overall effect on the EA or IE thus depends on which effect is stronger. For these two groups, we may note that the decrease in EA of the TMIM cation follows the trend: Ring site > N site > C site. Substitution at a ring site results in a decrease in the EA, while substitution at the C site results in an increase in an EA. This could be explained by the fact that substitution at the ring site results in a direct electron donation from the lone pairs on these functional groups to the delocalized $\pi$ orbitals in the imidazolium ring, and thus the ED resonance effect dominates over the EW inductive effect. When attached to the C site, the opposite is true and the inductive effect dominates. The same observation can be made for the functional groups which are EW by resonance such as CN and COOH. For these groups, the greatest increase in EA occurs when substitution occurs at the ring site.

• Similarly for the PF$_5$CF$_3$ anion, we may observe that the greatest increase in IE comes when a group with a strong EW resonance effect (e.g., CN) is attached directly to the P site, which is the site of formal negative charge, while the greatest decrease in IE comes when a group with a strong ED resonance effect (e.g., NH$_2$ or OH) is attached directly to the P site. Substitutions at the C site result in a significantly weaker effect.
7.8 IL design strategies

Our results have shown that trends in the vertical EAs and IEs calculated using DFT methods are in qualitative agreement with relative experimental redox stabilities of ILs formed from various cations and anions, and with the general observed trend of increased cathodic stability resulting from alkylation of cations. Attaching electron-donating (ED) functional groups such as alkyl and amine groups generally decreases the EA of the cation and IE of the anion, and hence increases the stability of the cation against reduction but decreases the stability of the anion against oxidation. The reverse is true for electron-withdrawing (EW) functional groups. A monotonic decreasing trend of cation EA with increasing alkylation was observed, while no apparent trend was observed for increasing alkylation of anions within the range of molecular weights explored. We have also demonstrated that the position of substitution is important in determining the strength of the ED or EW effects. Resonance effects are especially pronounced when the functional group is attached to an aromatic ring or the atom of formal positive/negative charge (e.g., N atom in NH$_4^+$ or P atom in PF$_6^-$).

Given that we find reasonable trends, computational chemistry techniques can contribute to a more focused development of ILs for electrochemical applications. ILs are highly customizable solvents, and current research into ILs has barely scratched the surface of the massive chemical space for IL structures. For instance, most current research on ILs for Li-battery electrolytes has been limited to traditional ILs formed from alkylated imidazolium and ammonium-based cations with the BF$_4$, PF$_6$ and TFSI anions. One possible strategy for future IL Li-battery electrolyte development would be to functionalize existing cations with other ED groups to achieve better cathodic stability. On the anodic side, the potential for increasing the oxidation limit of anions appear to be more limited as current anions are already based on fluorinated organic and inorganic anions for which there is a strong inductive stabilization effect. Even so, our results suggest that the cyanide group could be an excellent candidate to functionalize anions. It should also be noted that the base ions and functional
groups explored in this work are by no means a comprehensive list, and there could be other ions and functional groups that provide better electrochemical stability.

In real-world electrochemical applications, the electrochemical stability is but one factor to be optimized in IL electrolytes. There are other important properties affecting electrochemical performance, most notably the ionic conductivity of the IL. Nonetheless, the insights gained from our high-throughput exercise will enable the more targeted design of ILs to satisfy the various requirements of a particular application. For instance, there is evidence that reducing the symmetry of the constituent ions generally leads to a lowering of the viscosity and increase in ionic conductivity of an IL.\cite{169} A common approach to reduce the symmetry is to attach functional groups of different kinds or sizes to the ion. Hence, the trends obtained in our work could provide insights on which functional groups can be attached such that the electrochemical stability is improved as well, or at the very least, minimize any adverse impact on the electrochemical stability.

We should also point that out while the qualitative trends are consistent with experimental observations, gas-phase EA and IE calculations are insufficient to produce quantitative predictions of redox stability in real-world applications. By their very nature, gas-phase calculations ignore local environment effects present in the liquid, such as dielectric screening, the effect of the counter-ion and packing in the liquid state. Also, EAs and IEs are only approximate proxies for the redox stability, and possible chemical reactivity with real-world electrodes may significantly shrink the electrochemical window.

### 7.9 Conclusion

We have investigated the electron affinities and ionization energies of a large spectrum of cations and anions for ionic liquids using \textit{ab initio} molecular orbital theory at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p)//B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level. We found that the trends in calculated EAs and IEs of alkylated IL cations and anions compare well with observed experimental trends in relative cathodic and anodic stabilities of various
ILs. We also investigated the effect of functional group substitutions on the EA of the 1,2,3-trimethylimidazolium cation and IE of the PF$_5$CF$_3$ anion, and explained the effects in terms of the known electron-donating and electron-withdrawing inductive and resonance effects of the functional groups. It is our belief that the insights obtained from these trends could provide the basis for a more focused approach to IL design and customization for electrochemical applications.
Chapter 8

Conclusion

In this thesis, we have leveraged on first principles computational materials science techniques to advance our understanding of Li-ion battery technology. Two major components in a Li-ion battery were studied, namely the cathode and electrolyte. Simultaneous advances in both these areas are needed to increase the energy density and improve the safety of Li-ion batteries, which are two key design criteria as Li-ion batteries move beyond consumer applications to larger scale applications such as PHEV and HEVs.

1. The phase diagram of the technologically important Li-Fe-P-O was calculated as a function of oxidation conditions. The oxygen grand potential phase diagram thus constructed have provided a better understanding of phase equilibria under typical experimental synthesis and investigation conditions. The predictions of the calculated diagram agrees well with previous experimental findings. The combined application of the phase diagrams and Ellingham diagram provides a means to more efficiently focus experimental efforts to optimize synthesis approaches for LiFePO$_4$, and has indeed been applied to the development of a high-rate LiFePO$_4$ with highly conductive glassy impurity phases.

2. Expanding on the oxygen grand potential phase diagrams, we developed a means to predict the thermal stability of a material by determining the oxygen evolution as a function of oxygen chemical potential / temperature. We applied this
technique specifically to compare the relative thermal stabilities of the delithiated MPO$_4$ olivines ($M = \text{Fe, Mn, Co, Ni}$). In agreement with previous experimental findings, delithiated FePO$_4$ was predicted to be the most thermally stable, while delithiated MPO$_4$ was found to be less stable. The delithiated high-voltage NiPO$_4$ and CoPO$_4$ were found to be the least stable, suggesting a correlation between voltage and thermal stability. This technique has been adapted as a design criteria for high-throughput materials search as part of the Materials Genome at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

3. We revisited the calculation of lithium intercalation potentials and oxide redox energies in the context of hybrid density functional theory based on the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE06) hybrid functional. We found the HSE06 functional to be effective in treating the self-interaction error inherent in standard DFT approaches, and perform similarly to DFT+$U$ in the prediction of lithium intercalation potentials and oxide redox energies, albeit at a significantly higher computational cost than GGA+$U$. The advantage of hybrid functionals over GGA+$U$ is the lack of a species-specific $U$ parameter and perhaps more importantly, a more universal treatment of the self-interaction error over all species and occupied states rather than specific atomic orbital projections on specific ions.

4. We investigated the polaron migration barriers and phase separation energies of LiMnPO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$ using the HSE06 functional. We found that the more general treatment of self-interaction error by the HSE06 functional is necessary to properly localize charge carriers in LiMnPO$_4$ because of the more strongly hybridized Mn-O bonds in this material. The polaron migration barriers were found to be significantly higher in the Mn olivine as compared to the Fe olivine, and indicates approximately two orders of magnitude difference in conductivity between the two materials. This prediction is in agreement with previous experimental findings.

5. On the electrolyte front, we explored room-temperature ionic liquids (ILs) as po-
tential replacement electrolytes for Li-ion batteries. ILs offer significant safety advantages over flammable organic compounds as they exhibit low volatility, low flammability and high thermal stability, with the added benefit that their larger electrochemical windows could unlock higher voltage cathodes currently under development. Specifically, we investigated the trends in gas-phase electron affinities (EAs) of IL cations and ionization energies (IEs) of IL anions by systematically transversing the IL ion chemical space using computational chemistry methods. Our results have shown that trends in the vertical EAs and IEs calculated using DFT methods are in qualitative agreement with relative experimental redox stabilities of ILs formed from various cations and anions, and with the general observed trend of increased cathodic stability resulting from alkylation of cations. We found that attaching electron-donating (ED) functional groups such as alkyl and amine groups generally decreases the EA of the cation and IE of the anion, and hence increases the stability of the cation against reduction but decreases the stability of the anion against oxidation. The reverse is true for electron-withdrawing (EW) functional groups. A monotonic decreasing trend of cation EA with increasing alkylation was observed, while no apparent trend was observed for increasing alkylation of anions within the range of molecular weights explored. We have also demonstrated that the position of substitution is important in determining the strength of the ED or EW effects. Resonance effects are especially pronounced when the functional group is attached to an aromatic ring or the atom of formal positive/negative charge (e.g., N atom in NH$_4^+$ or P atom in PF$_6^-$). These qualitative trends agree well with previous experimental and theoretical results.

The work in this thesis has highlighted some of the challenges in the development of lithium batteries with higher power and higher energy density. Prima facie, it would appear that the correlation between high voltage and low thermal stability does not bode well for the development of high voltage cathode materials. Our investigation of polaron migrations barriers indicate that besides the issue of thermal stability, LiMnPO$_4$, the olivine material that is arguably the most promising immediate step up
from LiFePO$_4$, also suffers from poor electronic conductivity and intrinsically poorer kinetics. Also, while ILs show great promise as safer, higher voltage electrolytes for lithium batteries, our calculations suggest that there is less scope for improvement in the anodic limit based on current anion forms. Furthermore, their typically higher viscosity and lower ionic conductivity over conventional organic electrolytes are other major impediments to their widespread adoption in Li-ion batteries.

Nonetheless, there is still much cause for optimism in the future development of Li-ion battery technology.

The olivine phosphates are but one class of materials, and there is still a huge chemical space that is being explored through the Materials Genome project. Preliminary results have indicated that while the correlation between high voltage and low thermal stability holds in general, there are many outlier compounds and chemical systems (e.g., silicates) that offer both high voltage and reasonable predicted thermal stabilities. Some of these compounds are currently undergoing experimental synthesis and verification of the predicted properties.

The advent of hybrid functionals tailored for solid-state applications such as HSE06 has provided us with an additional computational investigative tool. While significantly more computationally expensive than standard semi-local DFT functionals, hybrid functionals are able to treat the self-interaction error in a more general manner, which may be essential in the investigation of certain properties (e.g., polaron migration) in more strongly hybridized systems. Also, the issue of computational cost will be mitigated with advances in computational power and code development.

On the electrolyte front, there are also other electrolyte systems, such as fluorinated organic solvents, under investigation, which can potentially offer larger electrochemical windows while retaining the advantages of current organic electrolytes of high ionic conductivities and low cost. The screening framework for IL ions in this thesis can be extended for the computational screening of functionalized organic solvents. On a broader front, the screening framework can be potentially developed into a molecular parallel to the Materials Genome project, which in its present form focuses mainly on extended solid state systems.
Appendix A

Calculated magnetic moments of
Li$_x$MPO$_4$

Table A.1: Calculated magnetic moments on each Fe ion in the unit cell of Li$_x$FePO$_4$
for the various functionals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Functional</th>
<th>Fe1</th>
<th>Fe2</th>
<th>Fe3</th>
<th>Fe4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.914</td>
<td>-3.914</td>
<td>-3.914</td>
<td>3.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>4.254</td>
<td>-4.254</td>
<td>-4.254</td>
<td>4.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>4.220</td>
<td>-4.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.931</td>
<td>-3.737</td>
<td>-3.732</td>
<td>3.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>4.240</td>
<td>-4.238</td>
<td>-3.718</td>
<td>4.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>4.215</td>
<td>-4.124</td>
<td>-3.846</td>
<td>4.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.892</td>
<td>-3.289</td>
<td>-3.336</td>
<td>3.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>4.251</td>
<td>-3.720</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>4.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>4.220</td>
<td>-3.664</td>
<td>-3.664</td>
<td>4.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.766</td>
<td>-3.479</td>
<td>-3.478</td>
<td>3.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>4.229</td>
<td>-3.717</td>
<td>-3.732</td>
<td>3.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>4.114</td>
<td>-3.669</td>
<td>-3.676</td>
<td>3.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.534</td>
<td>-3.534</td>
<td>-3.535</td>
<td>3.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>3.677</td>
<td>-3.679</td>
<td>-3.681</td>
<td>3.677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A.2: Calculated magnetic moments on each Mn ion in the unit cell of Li$_x$MnPO$_4$ for the various functionals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Functional</th>
<th>TM1</th>
<th>TM2</th>
<th>TM3</th>
<th>TM4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.552</td>
<td>-3.552</td>
<td>-3.552</td>
<td>3.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>3.903</td>
<td>-3.903</td>
<td>-3.902</td>
<td>3.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.542</td>
<td>-3.967</td>
<td>-3.994</td>
<td>3.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>3.920</td>
<td>-4.275</td>
<td>-4.393</td>
<td>3.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>3.723</td>
<td>-3.739</td>
<td>-4.535</td>
<td>3.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>4.002</td>
<td>-3.995</td>
<td>-3.995</td>
<td>4.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>-4.596</td>
<td>-4.596</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>3.717</td>
<td>-4.554</td>
<td>-4.554</td>
<td>3.717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>3.856</td>
<td>-4.421</td>
<td>-4.432</td>
<td>4.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>4.083</td>
<td>-4.635</td>
<td>-4.638</td>
<td>4.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>3.750</td>
<td>-4.555</td>
<td>-4.564</td>
<td>4.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>4.441</td>
<td>-4.441</td>
<td>-4.441</td>
<td>4.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA+ $U$</td>
<td>4.637</td>
<td>-4.637</td>
<td>-4.637</td>
<td>4.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSE06</td>
<td>4.562</td>
<td>-4.562</td>
<td>-4.562</td>
<td>4.562</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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