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Recent advances in theoretical structure prediction methods and high-throughput computational techniques are revolutionizing experimental discovery of the thermodynamically stable inorganic materials. Metastable materials represent a new frontier for these studies, since even simple binary non-ground state compounds of common elements may be awaiting discovery. However, there are significant research challenges related to non-equilibrium thin film synthesis and crystal structure predictions, such as small strained crystals in the experimental samples and energy minimization based theoretical algorithms. Here, we report on experimental synthesis and characterization, as well as theoretical first-principles calculations of a previously unreported mixed-valent binary tin nitride. Thin film experiments indicate that this novel material is N-deficient SnN with tin in the mixed n/tv valence state and a small low-symmetry unit cell. Theoretical calculations suggest that the most likely crystal structure has the space group 2 (SG2) related to the distorted delafossite (SG166), which is nearly 0.1 eV/atom above the ground state SnN polymorph. This observation is rationalized by the structural similarity of the SnN distorted delafossite to the chemically related Sn3N4 spinel compound, which provides a fresh scientific insight into the reasons for growth of polymorphs of metastable materials. In addition to reporting on the discovery of the simple binary SnN compound, this paper illustrates a possible way of combining a wide range of advanced characterization techniques with the first-principle property calculation methods, to elucidate the most likely crystal structure of the previously unreported metastable materials. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4945561]

I. INTRODUCTION

The fields of solid-state chemistry and materials science are searching for and discovering new functional materials. Recently, the theoretical prediction and experimental realization of thermodynamically stable materials have seen much research1–4 and some success.5,6 Translating this progress to metastable materials systems, such as thermochemically unstable compounds produced by non-equilibrium thin film synthesis techniques, is an emerging frontier. For this vast metastable materials space, productive scientific approaches are lacking, because both theory and experiment face challenges here. Theoretical structure search methods are most mature when targeting ground state structures using energy as the search metric. Similarly, problematic, metastable materials are likely to be initially observed in small, strained crystals that present challenges for experimental structure determination methods, such as diffraction. The opportunity, on the other hand, is huge: for every ground-state structure, there are many hundreds of higher-energy structures that may be metastable. Also, these higher-energy polymorphs may have useful practical applications, such as (anti)ferroelectric materials,7,8 or topological insulators.9,10

Consider, for example, the useful and diverse chemistry of binary tin compounds. The oxides (SnO, SnO)11,12 sulfides (SnS, SnS, SnS),13,14 and fluorides (SnF, SnF)15,16 have been widely studied in molecular form17–20 and used for a range applications in solid state form including transparent electronics21 and batteries,22 photocatalysis and antibacterial coatings,23 photovoltaic absorbers,24 and contacts,25 as well as in dentistry26 and periodontal.27 This diversity is partially enabled by the propensity of tin to adopt three oxidation
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states: the metallic Sn(0), as well as the oxidized Sn(II) and Sn(IV). Tin nitrides are an interesting counter-example to the other Sn-based binary materials based on oxygen, sulfur, or fluorine, since they are much less known (Fig. 1). The fact that this binary Sn–N materials family has missing members is somewhat surprising, given the Earth-abundance of constituent elements and more than 100 years of extensive and systematic research in solid-state chemistry.

The search indicates that only the binary Sn(IV) material Sn$_3$N$_2$ is present in the ICSD crystallographic database. A nitrogen-poor amorphous analogue to Sn$_3$N$_2$ has been also reported in literature. As shown in Figure 1, binary crystalloids containing Sn(n), such as Sn$_3$N$_2$ (the pure Sn-nitride) or SnN (one of the possible mixed Sn-nitrides), are unknown so far. There are multiple possible structures for SnN (Sn 1v/n) and Sn$_3$N$_2$ (Sn n) available in computational databases, but they have yet to be experimentally realized. A record for the Sn 1v/n nitride material (SnN) exists in the experimental International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) database, but the inspection of X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns indicates that it is actually the known Sn$_3$N$_4$ compound. We also note that up to date, only one ternary Sn(n) nitride NaSnN$_3$ and one ternary Sn(IV) nitride ZnSnN$_2$ have been reported, so tin nitrides are a nearly virgin field of the solid state chemistry.

Another interesting aspect of the Sn–N material space is the metastability. The Sn(IV) nitride (Sn$_3$N$_2$) has a positive heat formation with respect to the elements ($\Delta H_F = +1.6$ eV/f.u.), meaning it thermodynamically favors decomposition into metallic tin solid and molecular nitrogen gas. Therefore, any synthetic technique designed to produce SnN or Sn$_3$N$_2$ requires sufficiently reactive nitrogen and tin precursors to counteract thermodynamics. Furthermore, these precursors should be interacting at sufficiently reducing conditions to avoid the known Sn$_3$N$_4$, while maintaining quite oxidizing conditions to prevent complete reduction to Sn metal. All of this, including the very small binding energy difference between Sn 5s and Sn 5p electrons, and hence the required precise control of the oxidation state, make a priori identification of the necessary Sn–N processing parameters difficult.

One way to locate an unknown processing window is to explore a wide range of processing space via combinatorial sputtering with spatial gradients in composition and other growth conditions. Subsequent spatially resolved characterization and high-throughput data processing elucidate composition, structure, and properties of the films produced at each location. Our previous work on films with temperature gradient and target-substrate distance gradient indicates that control of the cation oxidation state can be obtained by changing these variables in a binary material system. Another way to address the problem of the expected but missing materials is by performing high-throughput theoretical structure prediction, but for non-ground state structures. Over the past several years, a number of ground state structure prediction methods have been developed, including genetic algorithms, data mining, minima hopping, structure prototyping, random/constrained structure sampler, and other methods. These first principles thermochemistry methods have led to several success stories, for example, the discovery of a large number of hitherto unknown ABX materials, including those with Half-Heusler structures.

The challenge of successfully utilizing the combinatorial thin film synthesis approach is that the crystal structure of the resulting samples may be difficult to determine. This is because the traditional approaches to solving the “inverse problem” of diffraction are hard to apply to materials in thin film form. The inverse diffraction problem is the task of calculating the crystal structure from its diffraction pattern, which cannot be solved by inductive methods. Therefore, it is traditionally solved deductively by (1) constraining the wide range of possible crystal structures to just a few candidates based on unit cell symmetry and (2) subsequent direct calculation of the expected diffraction response of these few candidates. Whereas this approach works well for single crystals or powder samples, in the case of thin films, it may be complicated by broad diffraction peaks due to small grain size and missing peaks due to preferential orientation. Hence, a larger number of the crystal structure candidates need to be considered theoretically, and additional experimental constraints need to be placed on possible candidates.

In this manuscript, we report on synthesis, properties, and possible crystal structure of a crystalline tin nitride material having a composition close to stoichiometric SnN, with a possible slight N-deficiency (SnN$_{1.5}$). Combinatorial reactive sputtering identifies that this SnN-like material is formed in the intermediate range of substrate temperatures (200–400 °C). In an attempt to determine the crystal structure of SnN, more than 6000 candidate structures were screened through three types of theoretical search methods employing density functional theory (DFT) calculations. These candidates were down-selected based on their calculated energy and on comparison to the experimentally measured long-range order (XRD).
The five most likely theoretical candidates were compared to the experimental sample by measuring a variety of local structure characteristics and physical properties. The results of this process suggest that the most likely structure of the new nitride is related to delafossite (space group 166), with stereo-active Sn(n) lone pair distortions and anion vacancies lowering the symmetry to space group 2 (SG2). Interestingly, the SG2 candidate is 90 meV/atom above the lowest energy structure of the SnN. This result is rationalized by the quantitative structural similarity of the distorted SnN delafossite to the chemically related Sn$_3$N$_4$ spinel phase, providing new scientific insight into selection criteria for metastable polymorphs. In addition to the discovery of the novel binary nitride phase, another advance reported herein is the combined experimental/theoretical process for thin film based materials discovery and structure determination in non-equilibrium phase space.

II. METHODS

Thin films of tin nitrides were grown on glass and silicon substrates by high-throughput combinatorial reactive sputtering of metallic tin targets in an argon and nitrogen atmosphere. Substrate temperature ($T_S$) and target-substrate distance ($d_{TS}$) were changed as orthogonal gradients, such that each position on the sample experienced different growth conditions. The resulting thin films were characterized by spatially resolved XRD to determine the phase(s) present. After the phase space region of interest was identified, tin nitride films were prepared without growth-parameter gradients to obtain larger amount of material. Further, local structure characterization was undertaken using Rutherford backscattering (RBS), Raman spectroscopy, electron microscopy, electron diffraction, X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), with a wide range of information depths. The tin nitride properties were measured using optical spectroscopy (infrared through ultraviolet) and Hall effect measurements. More information about our high-throughput combinatorial approach has been previously published elsewhere. Additional details for all the combinatorial and single-point synthesis and characterization techniques are available in the supplementary material.

First-principles calculations were undertaken to identify candidate structures for SnN. Three separate routes were attempted with increasing sophistication and computational cost. The first approach involves using structure prototypes, where SnN is assumed to take the form ABX$_3$ and A = Sn, B = Sn, and X = N. The second approach was Global Space Group Optimization, or GSGO, which is a genetic algorithm for structure prediction. A third approach based on electronically and ionically biased random structure search (RSS) was employed to elucidate possible non-equilibrium phases by searching a broader region of phase. The 4000 of the SnN starting configurations of up to 20 formula units were generated using USPEX software, and 2000 were generated using random superlattices (RSLs) implemented in a recently developed polymorph sampling technique. These structures were then geometrically relaxed using VASP software. In the electronically biased RSS, an attractive or repulsive non-local external potential (NLEP) was applied to the Sn 5s orbitals to influence them towards a 2+ or 4+ electronic state during the preliminary structural relaxation calculations. The ionically biased RSS uses RSL to preferentially search for cation-anion coordinated configurations that are expected to have large basins of attraction in configuration space, and hence, an increased frequency of occurrence in random sampling and a larger probability to be realized during the synthesis experiments. Additional details of the theoretical methods are provided in supplementary material.

The proposed combined theoretical and experimental process of solving the crystal structure of thin films is illustrated in Figure 2. In comparison with the traditional inverse diffraction problem solving process for crystals and powders, the main difference is the larger number of the synthesized samples and calculated structures (left), and an additional step of constraining the candidates by local structure characterization and physical property measurements (right). This schematic illustration (Fig. 2) also provides an outline for the remainder of this paper. First, the results of high-throughput experimental and theoretical studies are described, providing the sample stoichiometry and diffraction,
as well as five most likely structure candidates, none of them exactly matching the measurement results. Next, four detailed characterization and calculation techniques are employed to evaluate the likelihood of each of these theoretical structures to be related to the experimentally synthesized material, pointing to the distorted SG2 version of the SG166 delafossite candidate structure. The optical absorption and electrical conductivity of the new SnN material are also reported. Finally, the results of these investigations are discussed in solid state chemistry terms, by quantifying the structural similarity between the proposed delafossite-related SnN and spinel Sn$_3$N$_4$ materials.

III. HIGH-THROUGHPUT STUDIES

A. Experiments

Experimentally, we found that changing both target-substrate distance and substrate temperature had an effect on the growth of the tin nitrides (Fig. 3). Substrate temperature ($T_S$) had the most dramatic effect on the phase of the resulting films, with spinel tin nitride (Sn$_3$N$_4$) observed at ambient temperature.$^{37}$ Increased substrate temperature resulted in the introduction of unassigned diffraction peaks with a decrease in intensity of Sn$_3$N$_4$ reflections and a complete elimination of Sn$_3$N$_4$ reflections at $T_S = 120^\circ$C. The highest intensity of the unassigned peaks was observed around $T_S = 350^\circ$C. These reflections did not match any known tin or tin nitride phase, or any known compound containing other possible elements (Sn, N, O, H). Interestingly, at $T_S > 450^\circ$C, Sn$_3$N$_4$ is again observed, in this case together with Sn metal ($\beta$-polymorph). This suggests that the tin-nitrogen bonds in Sn$_3$N$_4$ are more thermally stable than those in the new material; in other words, the newly observed material should be even less thermodynamically stable than Sn$_3$N$_4$.

When target-substrate distance was changed at a given substrate temperature, differences in crystallographic texture were observed in the two-dimensional XRD detector images (Figure S10$^{35}$). Small target-substrate distances ($d_{TS} = 13-14$ cm) generally produced films with increased long-range order compared to films grown at larger target-substrate distances ($d_{TS} = 14-15$ cm). Such preferential orientation effects often complicate the crystal structure determination of thin film materials. The XRD patterns as a function of $d_{TS}$ are shown in Figure S1a of the supplementary material.$^{53}$ Interestingly, despite the strong crystallographic texturing, peak broadening indicative of weak long-range order or small grain size was observed in all films.

The stoichiometry of this new tin nitride material synthesized at intermediate temperature was subsequently measured by the RBS to be between SnN and Sn$_3$N$_8$, suggesting the mixed-valent Sn-iv/Sn-ii nitride. The resulting RBS spectra are shown in the Figure 4. The range of observed Sn–N stoichiometries is presented in the inset of Fig. 4, in comparison with measured Sn$_3$N$_4$ composition (Sn-iv) and expected Sn$_3$N$_2$ composition (Sn-ii). Differences between individual samples, oxygen contamination, and instrumental uncertainty could have contributed to the range of measured composition of the Sn–N samples. No correlation between measured stoichiometries and variations in XRD patterns was observed. Based on this RBS analysis, we refer to the new synthesized material as Sn$_{1-\delta}$N$_{1+\delta}$ ($0 < \delta < 0.2$) for the remainder of the manuscript.

To address the possibility that Sn$_{1-\delta}$N$_{1+\delta}$ may be more than one new phase, a film was grown isothermally at 350°C and subjected to a high-throughput anneal by applying a post-growth temperature gradient across the substrate in an inert atmosphere. Subsequent spatially resolved XRD analysis of the film revealed the structural changes at each temperature. The XRD patterns as a function of the annealing temperature are shown in Figure S1b.$^{53}$ The sample underwent decomposition near 450°C, into Sn and Sn$_3$N$_4$. The abrupt disappearance of all Sn$_{1-\delta}$N$_{1+\delta}$ diffraction at a single temperature indicates that the diffraction peaks all belong to a single phase or that all phases have similar kinetic stability. Such disproportionation is also observed in the tin oxide system, with the metastable intermediate oxide Sn$_3$O$_8$ transforming to the thermodynamically stable SnO$_2$ upon heating.$^{59}$

---

**FIG. 3.** Combinatorial thin films synthesis growth space (phase diagram) of Sn–N films obtained at different substrate temperatures. Both the substrate temperature and the target-substrate distance had an effect on the resulting phase and preferred orientation (Figure S10). The phase assignments were made by XRD measurements (see Fig. S1a of the supplementary material for more details$^{53}$).

**FIG. 4.** RBS showing a strong Sn peak and a weaker N hump on the top of the Si step edge. Inset: the Sn–N sample composition statistics, indicating the thin film composition close to SnN. The Sn$_{1-\delta}$N$_{1+\delta}$ sample is more nitrogen-poor compared to the measured Sn$_3$N$_4$ reference sample and more nitrogen-rich compared to the expected Sn$_3$N$_2$ stoichiometry.
To investigate the crystallinity of SnN\textsubscript{1−δ} further, a powder sample was prepared by growing several thick films at one condition (T\textsubscript{s} = 350°C and d\textsubscript{T5} = 13–14 cm) and scraping the films from the substrates. XRD analysis of the resulting powder revealed additional peaks not detected in the as-grown films, confirming the preferential crystallographic orientation observed by the area detector (Figure S10\textsuperscript{53}). The powder diffraction pattern is shown in Figure 5(a), with a cluster of peaks in 2Θ = 30°-40° and 60°-70° degree range. Close inspection of these clusters reveals that their individual peaks do not overlap with Sn\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} or Sn reflections. Further, the presence of the Sn and Sn\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} secondary phases can be ruled out based on the absence of their expected strong peaks in the 40°-60° range, as indicated by vertical lines in Fig. 5. We also note that the SnN\textsubscript{1−δ} XRD pattern contains a large number of peaks pointing to low symmetry, multiple SnN phases, or both. Also, the absence of peaks below 2Θ ~ 30° indicates a small unit cell, since relatively large diffraction angles correspond to relatively small plane spacings according to Bragg’s law. The summary of the 2Θ values of the measured XRD peaks and the associated calculated d-spacings is provided in Table S2.\textsuperscript{53}

The relatively broad peaks in XRD suggest a small coherence length and makes traditional Rietveld refinement with these samples impossible. Monochromatic synchrotron radiation with high intensity did not improve the width of the XRD peaks, supporting the small grain hypothesis, rather than the detector- or source-limited resolution of the lab XRD setup. Indeed, relatively small microstructural features with 500 nm size can be observed in the top-down scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images (Fig. 5(b)), and even smaller <100 nm microstructure is visible in cross-sectional SEM (Fig. 5(c)).

**B. Theory**

To identify the unknown SnN structure, we started by looking for the low energy ones using structure prototyping search (SPS) and genetic algorithm (GSGO) methods. The choice of these methods was motivated by both their tractable computational costs, as well as our previous familiarity with these techniques for structure prediction of previously unreported thermodynamically stable “missing” materials.\textsuperscript{6,46,49,4} The SPS computations using ABX\textsubscript{2} compounds (A = Sn, B = Sn, and X = N) identified two structures as shown in Figure 6(a), with energies comparable to other SnN candidates and Sn\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} (see Table S1 in supplementary material\textsuperscript{53}). Even though the simulation of the XRD patterns of these two structures did not find the exact match to the experimental SnN\textsubscript{1−δ} pattern, the lowest energy CuBiS\textsubscript{2} (SG62) structure could not be conclusively ruled out, so it was retained for further theoretical characterization. In contrast to the SPS technique, the GSGO method identified the low-energy SnN structure to be the decomposed form of Sn– and N clusters, consistent with the expected positive formation enthalpy for SnN compounds with respect to Sn metal and N\textsubscript{2} molecule. This shows that genetic algorithms in their standard forms are more suitable for structure prediction of thermodynamically stable materials than the metastable materials discussed here.

![FIG. 5.](image1.png)  
*FIG. 5. (a) XRD patterns of SnN\textsubscript{1−δ} powders scraped from a large number of thick SnN\textsubscript{1−δ} films, indicating a small unit cell with low symmetry. The absence of Sn and Sn\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} impurity phases is indicated by vertical dashed lines from the corresponding reference patterns at higher angles. The broad peaks can be explained by small grains observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) as shown in (b) top view and (c) cross-sectional images.*

![FIG. 6.](image2.png)  
*FIG. 6. The results of the theoretical SnN structure search methods. (a) The two low energy structures without the N–N dimers, identified from ABX\textsubscript{2} structure prototyping search method. (b) Energy distribution of SnN structures (excluding identical and phase-separated compounds) from electronically and configurationally biased random structure sampling (RSS) techniques, with respect to the lowest-energy SnN crystal structure as the reference.*
The inconclusive findings from the GSGO and unsatisfactory XRD match from the SPS methods motivated us to consider other techniques to identify the candidate SnN polymorph structures. The condition of low formation energy of the GSGO approaches or the restriction to known crystal chemistries of SPS methods imposes adverse constraints on the viable phase space used to identify the structures of new metastable materials. Even for the GSGO-like methods aimed to enhance the sampling of metastable configurations, such as biased metadynamics or antiseeding, the abundance of possible low energy configurations corresponding to metallic tin and molecular nitrogen poses a challenge to defining the optimal calculation parameters. Thus, alternative structure predictions methods designed for finding metastable materials, such as the random structure search were needed.

In order to overcome the GSGO and SPS limitations, we used electronically and configurationally biased RSS to identify candidate metastable SnN polymorph structures, as described in the Methods section. Taking into account the mixed Sn(II)/Sn(IV) character of the SnN material, we performed an electronically biased RSS of over 4000 randomly generated SnN, Sn3N2, Sn2N2, and SnN2 unit cell structures with electronic bias on unique Sn atoms towards a 2+ or 4+ electronic state. Additionally, we generated 2000 structures using the ionically biased RSS technique, also referred to as RSL polymorph sampling. To preferentially avoid metallic tin and molecular N2 configurations in all 6000 of calculations, we imposed constraints on the interatomic distances of Sn–Sn and N–N to be >2.0 Å in the randomly generated structures. The results of both RSS calculations were then filtered to remove identical structures or those that contained phase-separated Sn-metal/N-dimer regions. All of the electronic and ionic bias constraints were lifted prior to the completion of the structural optimization procedure.

The energy distribution of the resulting geometrically optimized structures in the 100 meV energy range is depicted in Figure 6(b), including the results from both RSS methods and excluding identical and phase-separated compounds. The wider energy range is shown in Figure S9. The ionically biased RSS method resulted in a tighter distribution of candidate structures grouped within the lowest 400 meV/atom energy range sampled. This indicates a much more selective sampling of low energy polymorphs as compared to the electronically biased sampling; however, both methods did identify several low-energy high-probability structures. In addition, the electronically biased RSS method also identified many structures that the ionically biased RSS method did not find, but only with a low frequency of occurrence and expected low basin of attraction in configuration space. This comparison further supports the statistically motivated premise of the RSL polymorph sampling approach.

As intended, the electronic biasing of the RSS resulted in only a small fraction of the predicted low energy structures being composed of either metallic Sn or molecular nitrogen phases. Based on these results (Fig. 6(b)), we identified the lowest energy SnN polymorph (SnN-SG12) from all of our search techniques. This structure was used as the lowest energy reference point throughout the remainder of this paper. To further narrow down the list of likely SnN crystal structures from 100 s (Fig. 6(b)) to just a few (Fig. 7), we compared the simulated XRD patterns to the experimentally obtained powder pattern. This was done for the 50 lowest-energy unique structures using a high-throughput peak-matching algorithm. The algorithm provided a rank list of the matches, thereby identifying the most likely crystal structures that subsequently can be used for higher-level computations. Although no simulated XRD pattern was found to be in exact agreement with the experimentally measured pattern, five most likely candidates emerged from the sorting procedure. These candidates had space groups 6, 12, 25, 62, and 166, and all had energies within 100 meV/atom. The XRD patterns are shown in Figure S8 along with the experimental pattern, and the corresponding lattice parameters are summarized in Table S3. The XRD comparison indicates that the SG6, SG12, and SG62 candidates are less likely than the SG25 and SG166 candidates, since the former have XRD peaks in low-angle range, which is not observed in the experimental XRD pattern. In addition to these five SnN candidate structures, we chose to include Sn3N4 (space group 227) in our subsequent higher-level calculations. With this information in hand, we further evaluated the candidate structures by simulating their local structure and physical properties and compared them to the corresponding experimentally measured properties. For these studies, we hypothesize that there may be just one SnN crystal structure that matches the experimental observation, but in principle, several different SnN polymorphs may be present in the samples at the same time.

FIG. 7. The list for fifty low-energy SnN structure candidates ranked by their match to the experimentally measured SnN1−δ XRD pattern using an automated search-match algorithm. The space groups of the top five structural candidates are 6, 12, 25, 62, and 166, and their XRD patterns are shown in Figure S8.
IV. DETAILED STUDIES

A. Raman scattering

To elucidate the local atomic structure of the Sn–N samples, we turned to Raman scattering—a useful fingerprinting technique for assessing local structure in solids that is complementary to long-range XRD characterization. The more local Raman measurements are especially useful for thin film samples with small grains or poor crystallinity. However, the Raman also has certain disadvantages compared to the XRD: whereas XRD has a massive set (>10^5) of reference patterns that can be obtained from structural databases such as ICSD and ICDD, the number of available Raman reference patterns lags behind and is scattered through the literature. In addition, depending on the local symmetry and free carrier concentration, some materials may show no Raman response. All these factors make routine fingerprinting of the newly synthesized materials using Raman scattering operationally challenging.

The results of the Raman scattering measurements on the SnN_{1-\delta} samples are shown in Figure 8. Two pairs of peaks in the 350-550 cm\(^{-1}\) and 600-800 cm\(^{-1}\) ranges were observed, in addition to several other peaks at lower wavenumbers (100-200 cm\(^{-1}\)). The corresponding first-principles simulations on the initial set of five SnN candidates and SnN\(_4\) reference patterns did not show any obvious matches to experimental data (Fig. S5\(^{53}\)). Thus, based on this comparison, all 5 candidate SnN structures as well as SnN\(_4\) contamination in the SnN\(_{1-\delta}\) samples could be ruled out. However, we noticed that one of the structural candidates (SG166) had two strong peaks in the 400 cm\(^{-1}\) and 600 cm\(^{-1}\) regions close to the experimentally observed peak doublets, suggesting that it may be related to the SnN\(_{1-\delta}\) sample.

To expand on this Raman observation, the SG166 candidate structure was found to be mechanically unstable, as evidenced by negative frequencies in phonon calculation results (Figure S6).\(^{53}\) The subsequent supercell calculations with further relaxation revealed the same imaginary phonon mode and led to a lower energy relaxed structure. These relaxations lowered the energy by 5 meV/atom and resulted into breaking the symmetry of the SG166 candidate down to the SG2 (Figure S7).\(^{53}\) In turn, this led to splitting of the calculated Raman peaks, and hence a qualitatively better match with the experimentally measured spectra. We note that the SG2 theoretical peaks were still shifted to the lower wavenumbers compared to the experimental measurements, due to overestimation of the lattice constant in general gradient approximation (GGA) DFT. However, the addition of the Van der Waals correction, which is known to improve GGA structural parameters prediction, improved the quantitative agreement (Fig. 8), in particular for the doublet at lower wavenumbers. This symmetry-broken SG2 structure was used in subsequent theoretical calculations such as XAS reference spectra discussed next. It should be stressed that the symmetry breaking can happen in several directions and since the energy minima are very close—hence the actual SnN structure might show some degree of disorder, and yet lower energy due to entropic stabilization. This can also lead to better agreement for the doublet at higher wavenumbers (Fig. 8).

B. X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS)

To further characterize the local structure of the SnN\(_{1-\delta}\) samples, we used XAS. In the XAS measurement, the samples are irradiated with x-rays of different energies close to the N 1s absorption edge, and the resulting photoelectrons from de-excitation are measured. Similar to the XPS, the XAS measured from the total electron yield (TEY) is a relatively surface sensitive measurement: a mean free path of the photoexcited electrons on the order of tens of nanometers. The strength of the XAS method compared to the lab-based XPS...
is that the final state selection rules allow for a straightforward
calculation of the spectra from a given structure.

The results of the SnN_{1−δ} XAS measurements were
compared with the theoretical simulation of the XAS
spectra for different SnN structure candidates predicted from
theoretical calculations, as well as Sn_3N_4, to determine which
theoretically predicted structures are most likely present in the
SnN_{1−δ} sample. The results of this comparison are provided in
Figs. 9 and S2.\textsuperscript{53} Experimentally, SnN_{1−δ} shows two strong
peaks separated by ~7 eV close to N 1s x-ray absorption
edge. This double-peak x-ray absorption feature is consistent with
the SG6, SG2, and SG166 candidate structures of SnN,
and with SG 122 spinel Sn_3N_4 (Fig. 9), which on the first
glance looks quite similar due to subtle differences in local
structure surrounding nitrogen. More importantly, the XAS
experiments helped to rule out the other structure candidates
(SG25, SG15, SG62), all of which have only the lead XAS
peak (Fig. S2\textsuperscript{53}), and thus can be present in the SnN_{1−δ} sample,
but cannot be its single phase.

C. X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS)

The XPS was undertaken to elucidate the elemental
composition and the bonding environments of the tin and
nitrogen species in the SnN_{1−δ} samples. The major advantage
of XPS is that it enables quantification of these materials
characteristics and thus facilitates comparisons between
the material of interest (here SnN_{1−δ}) and the related materials
(here Sn_3N_4) or the modeled crystal structures (here SnN).
One potential disadvantage is that the lab-based XPS with
monochromatic source is a rather surface sensitive technique
with a few nm information depth, so the presence of native
oxide at the surface complicates analysis. Whereas XPS
with subsequent peak fitting is a very common technique
to carefully analyze valence states of elements in oxides, such
thorough analysis of nitrides is less commonly reported in
literature and is presented here.

The high-resolution Sn 3d spectra shown in Fig. 10(a)
suggest two major nitride components, one associated with
Sn(n) indicated by peak-A at 486.0 eV and another with
Sn(iv) indicated by peak-B at 486.6 eV. Analysis of the
N 1s spectra (Fig. 10(b)) also shows two major components,
indicative of two different chemical/structural environments.
The first component located at higher binding energy (peak-1
at 397.3 eV) is assigned to nitrogen bonded predominantly
to lower valency Sn(n), while the second component located
at lower binding energy (peak-2 at 396.6 eV) is assigned to
nitrogen bonded more closely to higher valency tin Sn(iv).
These peak assignments are consistent with literature\textsuperscript{62–65}
and similar trends were observed for other metal nitrides\textsuperscript{66–68}.

To confirm the peak assignments, the atomic percent of
nitrogen determined from each of the Sn peaks associated with
tin nitride was calculated assuming 4:3 N:Sn and 2:3 N:Sn
ratios. These calculated values were found to align well with
values determined from the measured N 1s spectra (Table I).
This experimental observation suggests that there are two
unique N sites in this mixed-valent tin nitride, an important
insight for the candidate SnN structures. Indeed, all five
theoretically proposed candidate structures (including SG2
structure, the distorted SG166 candidate) have two unique
nitrogen sites, which is consistent with this experimental
observation.

Comparing the SnN_{1−δ} XPS results with those for the
Sn_3N_4 reference sample (Fig. S3\textsuperscript{53}), we note that the SnN_{1−δ}
shows higher amounts of Sn(n) components relative to the
Sn_3N_4, confirming major differences in the composition
between the two films. Nevertheless, some degree of surface
contamination is present in both samples. This is indicated by
the relatively weak Sn metal-like components, small amounts
of SnO_2 in Sn 3d spectra, and the nitrogen associated with
carbon (C–N) observed in N 1s spectra.

![FIG. 10. High-resolution XPS (a) Sn 3d and (b) N 1s spectra for SnN_{1−δ}
sample and the corresponding peak fitting results. The peak fitting suggests
the presence of two valence states of tin, Sn(iv) and Sn(n), and two distinct
N components, one associated with Sn(iv) and another with Sn(n). Together,
these results indicate mixed-valent SnN structure with two distinct N atom
sites.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample composition</th>
<th>SnN_{1−δ}</th>
<th>Sn_3N_4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured total Sn</td>
<td>29.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>30.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitted peak-A Sn(n)</td>
<td>13.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitted peak-B Sn(iv)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured total N</td>
<td>24.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>28.5 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitted peak-1 N(Sn-n)</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitted peak-2 N(Sn-iv)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated N(Sn-n)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated N(Sn-iv)</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

In order to gain additional insight on crystallographic structure, microstructure, and morphology of the SnN_1–δ samples, we performed TEM and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) on cross-sectional samples of the material. The advantage of these methods over conventional XRD is that they provide spatially resolved structural measurements, which help to elucidate phase-purity and crystallinity of the sample at smaller length scales. A potential drawback is that the material may be damaged during sample preparation via focused-ion beam milling, and that TEM/SAED preparation and analysis can be quite time-consuming procedures.

The TEM imaging shown in Figures 11(a) and 11(b) indicates that the SnN_1–δ layer is polycrystalline, with ~100-1000 nm sized columnar regions that consist of ~10 nm sized crystalline particles surrounded by more disordered material. These TEM observations are consistent with the SEM results (Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)). Similar small-grain microstructures have been observed in other novel nitride or oxide materials in their initial stages of development. In order to analyze small areas of the sample that were inaccessible via SAED, we performed fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) of several single particles from the high-resolution TEM images (Fig. 11(b)). The results of this analysis (Fig. 11(d)) indicate that crystalline areas of the SnN_1–δ samples are a single phase, and this phase is the same across the several studies regions. Furthermore, the SAED/FFT analysis suggests that SnN crystal structure has a small unit cell with low symmetry, consistent with conclusions from the prior XRD analysis (Fig. 5(a)). Specifically, one possibility is that the unit cell parameters of \( a = 4.22 \), \( b = 4.12 \), \( c = 3.14 \), \( \alpha = 83.5 \), \( \beta = 91.2 \), and \( \gamma = 92.1 \), which would reproduce many of the experimentally observed \( d \)-spacings presented in Table S2. However, this analysis is limited by the identification of only four unique imaged zone axes from the HRTEM images (Fig. 11).

E. Optoelectronic properties

To further constrain the possible SnN candidate structures and to evaluate the potential future applications of SnN_1–δ thin films, we measured and calculated its optoelectronic properties. The experimental optical and electrical properties of the SnN_1–δ samples suggest a degenerate \( n \)-type semiconductor with a band gap between 1 and 2 eV, similar to the previous theoretical and experimental results for Sn_3N_4.\(^{42,71}\) The results of these characterizations are shown in Figure 12. The SnN_1–δ film has a shallow absorption onset above 1 eV with an inflection close to 2 eV. At energies below 1 eV, the extinction also increased as the result of free-carrier absorption. This indicates that the actual band gap of this material may be smaller than the optical absorption onset, due to band filling effects (Burstein-Moss shift).

Free carriers were also observed in the temperature-dependent Hall measurements (Fig. S4), where decreasing the temperature has almost no effect on the conductivity. Room temperature Hall effect measurements showed an \( n \)-type carrier concentration of \( 3 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-3} \) and a mobility of 2 cm\(^2\)/Vs. This suggests that the optoelectronic properties observed here originate from the crystalline grains rather than the low-order grain boundaries observed in TEM (Fig. 11), because previous measurements on amorphous tin nitride have shown a lower mobility by a factor of five.\(^{42}\) All these optical and electrical experimental observations are consistent with the theoretical electronic structure calculations that determined that SnN with the distorted delafossite SG2 structure is a semimetal (Table II). The calculation results for the optical absorption spectra of the SnN in SG2 structure are shown in Fig. 12. In comparison with the experimental absorption spectra, similar slow onset can be seen, further...
A summary of theoretical results for top five SnN candidate structures based on the XRD peak search-match algorithm, in comparison with the experimental observations for the SnN$_{1-x}$ sample and theoretical calculations for Sn$_3$N$_4$. The corresponding lattice parameters are provided in Table S3. The most likely structure of the SnN$_{1-x}$ samples is the distorted SG2 SnN structure related to the delafossite SnN (SG166) that is 96 meV/at. higher in energy than the lowest energy SG12 structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sn$_3$N$_4$</th>
<th>SG12</th>
<th>SG62</th>
<th>SG6</th>
<th>SG25</th>
<th>SG166</th>
<th>SG2</th>
<th>Expt. SnN$_{1-x}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_F$, meV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>&gt;Sn$_3$N$_4$+Sn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XAS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAED</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mayb e</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Small u.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Two N peaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Degenerate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_g$, eV</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarity</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Likely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. A summary of theoretical results for top five SnN candidate structures based on the XRD peak search-match algorithm, in comparison with the experimental observations for the SnN$_{1-x}$ sample and theoretical calculations for Sn$_3$N$_4$. The corresponding lattice parameters are provided in Table S3. The most likely structure of the SnN$_{1-x}$ samples is the distorted SG2 SnN structure related to the delafossite SnN (SG166) that is 96 meV/at. higher in energy than the lowest energy SG12 structure.

V. DISCUSSION

The results of all the characterization and calculation techniques are summarized in Table II, along with the calculated energies of the candidate structures. It appears that among the 5 different possible SnN candidates identified by the theoretical calculations, the SG2 structure (distorted SG166 candidate) is the most likely one to describe the SnN$_{1-x}$ thin films. The proposed SG2 structure of SnN consists of sheets of octahedrally bonded Sn(IV) ions alternated with the linearly coordinated Sn(II) ions along the c-axis of the layered distorted delafossite-like structure (SG166). The distorted-SG166 candidate (SG2) is supported by Raman and XAS measurements, and it is also consistent with the XRD, XPS, SAED characterization.

However, not all the SnN$_{1-x}$ thin film XRD peaks can be explained by the SG2 structure, indicating that either there are further structural distortions (most likely, see discussion in Raman section above), or that previously unreported secondary phases are present. The possibility that the SnN$_{1-x}$ thin films contain some amount of the Sn$_3$N$_4$ phase can be ruled out by the theoretical and experimental XRD patterns (Fig. 5) and Raman spectra (Figs. 8 and S5) of Sn$_3$N$_4$, which are inconsistent with the SnN$_{1-x}$ thin films measurement results. The alternative explanation based on the previously unreported Sn$_3$N$_2$ materials is also unlikely, since the overall sample stoichiometry is only 10% N-deficient compared to SnN. So given the overall close to Sn:N = 1:1 stoichiometry of the samples (Fig. 4), the secondary phase (if any) is likely to also have the SnN composition, which can be one of the SnN polymorphs listed in Table II. The concentration of this other polymorph must be relatively small, since no crystallites with distinctly different crystal structures were found by SEAD analysis. It is also possible that the experimentally synthesized material does have the actual Sn$_3$N$_9$ or Sn$_3$N$_8$ stoichiometry instead of being the N-deficient SnN phase. However, this possibility implies Sn in a fractional oxidation state, which is chemically unlikely. We also note that it would be difficult to check this hypothesis computationally, since it would involve crystal structure prediction on the unit cells with at least 19 atoms.

It is quite unexpected that SnN with the SG2 structure turned out to be the most likely candidate for the SnN$_{1-x}$ samples, since its energy is almost 0.1 eV/atom higher than the lowest-energy SG12 candidate (Table II). As show in Fig. 13(a), both SG12 and SG2 SnN are also higher in energy than the Sn$_3$N$_4$–Sn ground state line on the pseudo convex hull, between the metallic Sn and atomic N present in the sputtering chamber during the synthesis process. Recall that...
even Sn₃N₄ is higher in energy than the Sn metal and N₂ molecule, so overall all the compounds observed here in the Sn–N materials system are highly metastable.

One possible reason that SG2 rather than SG12 was synthesized is that the SG2’s parent SG166 structure gets stabilized by templated heterogeneous nucleation out of the structurally similar Sn₃N₄ spinel phase (Fig. 13(b)), which might be present at the initial stages of growth. To quantify the relationship between the Sn₃N₄ spinel and the different SnN polymorphs, we employ a similarity function based on Voronoi decomposition of a crystal structure into a set of substructures, one of several possible approaches to accomplish this task. A structural similarity value close to 1.0 indicates high geometric similarity between substructural polyhedra.

As shown in Table I, the similarity between Sn₃N₄ spinel and the SnN SG166 candidate is the highest of the considered candidate polymorphs, and it is even higher for the distorted SG2 structure. This high similarity originates from the presence of octahedrally coordinated Sn in both Sn₃N₄ spinel and SG166 delafossite (or SG2 distorted delafossite), which is not the case in the four other lower energy polymorphs. Crystals of a given chemistry tend to exhibit similar coordination environments, suggesting that SG166/SG2 is preferred over the other lower-energy polymorphs for structural reasons, rather than purely energetic reasons. These results suggest that the structural similarity metric may complement traditional energetic considerations as a heuristic for identifying which metastable structures tend to form during the synthesis.

The SG166 delafossite crystal structure related to SnN is well known primarily for supporting both optical transparency and p-type conductivity in the Cu-based ternary oxides such as CuAlO₂ and related materials. In addition, the oxide delafossites have been reported for visible light photocatalysts, ozone sensors, and other applications (thermoelectrics, catalysts, and antibacterial coatings). Very recently, nitride delafossites such as CuTaN have been proposed as potential light absorber materials for photovoltaic solar cells and photoelectrochemical water splitting, and subsequently as thermoelectrics. The SG2 SnN reported here is a new addition to the versatile family of the delafossites. Whereas its degenerate semiconducting properties and possible semimetal character may impede its future utility as a light absorber in optoelectronic devices, it still may be interesting for some of the other aforementioned applications.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, this paper reports on the synthesis, characterization, and the attempts of structural identification of a novel Earth-abundant semiconductor in the Sn–N family. The experiments indicate that the material is likely the nitrogen-deficient mixed-valent Sn(n/ν) nitride SnN₁−δ. The SnN structure prediction methods, in comparison with the experimental results, suggest that the distorted SG2 structure, related to the delafossite structure (SG166), is most likely. While we have made many efforts to determine the crystal structure of this material using a large number of experimental and theoretical methods, we must leave further structure refinement, including atomic position determination, to future work. Larger crystals and fewer defects should assist in crystal structure determination and would also lead to better understanding of possible applications of this material. Other research groups are cordially invited to perform such studies.

To conclude, the discovery of a novel binary semiconductor composed of Earth-abundant elements and synthesis at mild conditions demonstrates that the periodic table still holds surprisingly simple compounds awaiting discovery. This realization calls for more such research in the underexplored materials chemistries like nitrides, which can be accessed by thin film synthesis approaches. The reported structural similarity comparison used to rationalize the SnN SG2 polymorph selection gives fresh insight into possible reasons for realization of the metastable materials, beyond the simple lowest energy criteria. The combined experimental/theoretical study presented in this work, including generation and ranking of a large number of structural candidates based on the long-range order, a variety of local structure characterization/calculation techniques, all demonstrate a new approach to determining the crystal structure of thin film materials.
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